My God Is Not a Racist God



Presented by:

Biblical Correctness Ministries

New Kensington, Pennsylvania

Who invented racism, God or man?

A study in Scripture alongside some of the elite's dark secret society history is revealing the true origins of racism.

In sharing this newly uncovered way to see how we've all been deviously set up to fight one another, I hope to touch the hearts of otherwise sincere believers that many of us yet have an aching heart for.

All Bible verses are from the King James version and all bold and underlined segments are this author's emphasis.

> Printed in U.S.A. First printing Mar2021 Second edition printing Dec 2023

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Chapter 1	- Introduction p. 1
Chapter 2	- DNA and certified pedigrees(?) p. 22
Chapter 3	- Would a just judge, judge by color of skin?or color of law? p. 34
Chapter 4	- Species, kinds, and races p. 53
Chapter 5	- Seed Issues p. 62
Chapter 6	- The deeper related history p. 67
Chapter 7	- Unequally yoked p. 76
Chapter 8	- Reality Pollution p. 91
Chapter 9	- Does YHWH choose, as man chooses? p. 109
Chapter 1() - Faithful servants of every color p. 112
Chapter 11	l - Conclusion p. 130

My God is Not a Racist God

Scripture does not encourage racism. It rather teaches that YHWH rewards those people, families, and nations who best embrace His moral law of brotherly love... by faith.

First, let me make it openly clear that I don't deny that YHWH, the God of the Bible, has found favorites in selected individuals and certain societies over the centuries, and we'll cover the true reason why that is so in this writing. However, I wish to offer my own knowledge of the Scriptures on this because it's also been hard for me to likewise deny that there are a surprising number of Bible professing people that I've run into in the world today who *(and sometimes regardless of their ethnicity, or whether quietly or boldly)* feel that they are somehow "locked in" or at least "more qualified" in being one of "God's chosen people".

Their pretense, they oft discretely boast, is not because of their heart's complete devotion or faithfulness to YHWH and His ways, but actually due, and at least in part, to how they were simply born into a divinely chosen superior 'race' of people. For some of these folks, and for the reasons that I expect to offer numerous biblical oppositions for in the remainder of this work, their claim is because of this very premise, that they honestly believe that their 'race of birth' is admittedly a very important matter when defending their assumed status as one of YHWH's chosen people.

I am concerned that this presumption being hinged on a more recent classification system (that modern man is calling "race"), is only going to increasingly contribute to an already emerging yes, racially fused powder keg in many parts of the world.

The whole concept of this being centered on race is largely a result of the persistent works of the Jesuits' various forms of Hegelian Dialectics, the propagation of which was commissioned over many centuries at the behest of an oppressive ruling class. Their motivation was to keep us fighting one another rather than focusing our attentions on YHWH and His laws of love and justice. The elite simply do not want us regaining the favor of YHWH, themselves fearing that He might mercifully return to us and horribly pummel them for us. They therefore know that keeping us of the world's believers feuding amongst ourselves (in any way they can, race being just one of many tactics, but a major one) will only anger this God towards us, thus keeping this wrath focused on us, not them.

A staple in the "Identity Movement" (as some refer to themselves) is how they stand on 1Peter 1:1, 2 that the scattered tribes of ancient Israel had migrated north. I

don't argue that verse. But they then hypothesize that this can only be the white Caucasian peoples. There are others I've met who feel that the black race is superior saying the Messiah was black, and I've heard quite a few other variations of which my point is that they all feel that <u>race</u> is the determining or identifying factor. But it was never about "races" to begin with, but purity and obedience. This added twist of 'races' (based mostly on where Israel fled) is but a handy red herring that steers people away from the actual issue as this writing will solidly show as we work to the end.

Their claim is not related to the actual given reason why YHWH had chosen any of His favored peoples in the first place, but is designed to take the attention away from the well recorded facts you'll be shown in an amazing number of places in Scripture, where once one sees this glaring elephant in the room that negates any and all race claims, many are then lost for further related arguments against what YHWH's favoritism is actually caused by in Scripture. When the more genuinely conscientious believers catch on, they will usually admit that they hadn't noticed the linguistic slight of hand that was being played on them. Seeing through such things is largely a matter of having had the knowledge of the truth of our loving God's heart aforetime, where truth and knowledge are always a great set of friends when studying anything in life:

> "My people are destroyed for lack of knowledge: because thou hast rejected knowledge, I will also reject thee, that thou shalt be no priest to me: seeing thou

hast forgotten the law of thy God, I will also forget thy children." – *Hosea 4:6*

This being the opening verse of many I'll present that will show that it is not upon race at all that causes Father to make His choices between any individuals or groups of people, where the true cause behind all such preferences of the Father is about the way He sees our accepting or rejecting Him, His knowledge, and the keeping of His law that teaches a love for all.

It is therefore painfully frustrating to see how most people are not yet even aware of these "divide and conquer" tactics of the elite that we are finding to be buried in just about every aspect of life today, many having also been ever so successfully "misguided" (as well-meaning men might fall for such entrapments) and thereby kept from discovering such knowledge.

We are then *(and understandably so in most cases)* not able to put such puzzle pieces into place for ourselves amidst today's many intentionally complex whirlpools of deeper thought that side track us from the very simple biblical precepts that the dark ages church/beast system is yet today struggling to keep us away from. Racism, when all is said and done, is just another part of the elite's massive smoke and mirror distractions from reality, my friends.

Today's serpent-in-the-garden styled lies have become so huge and topically expansive that it took those like myself many years and far too many late hours of deeper concentrated studies to see through them all. It is therefore why I also better understand why it is so inconceivable for most people to see the forest they're really in, for the thicket of imaginary trees that have been set up as convincing decoys all around them.

This matrix of lies has purposefully sent the world into the tragic and hopelessly chaotic state we see today where many are in a state of despair unlike what man has ever seen before. Many are unable to sift through all the lies to even begin to understand where they should start. The satanic ruling elite have intentionally done this under a plan they simply call "Ordo Ab Chao", which is Latin for "order out of chaos". Well, I otherwise see a happy ending for those of us who are not allowing all the lies to muddy up our view of the Bible. Therefore, as we proceed through this manuscript, I hope that my readers will better see, that just at the moment that the wicked elite think that they "have it in the bag", a much greater King of kings is going to be the One who will take the reins and bring order out of this chaos, not them. But only those of us who trusted in Him and His true heart, will be able to see through these lies to then find the peace that some of us already have in this, so let's continue opening some additional eyes that they might join us in rediscovering our God's greater heart of lovingkindness, shall we?

It is thereby my hope with this writing, to at least alleviate some of the racial pressure aspects that they've tricked us into, even as the world learns of the rest of today's overall global deceptions along with us, themselves reassuringly following in our own offered research footsteps. So I humbly ask that those few of you who may already have a genuine heart in a love for your neighbor, please bear with me and read all of the many ways that I hope to show that the Bible disapproves of any such racial divides, where YHWH, our loving God of that Bible, was nowhere near being any such ogre or "racist" that many today are trying to make Him out to be! ...and this, no matter your own race, skin color, geographic heritage, and so forth, because YHWH truly is a God of love for <u>all</u>!

At the same time I hope to clearly reveal that it is man who is the designer of racism and all such superiority complexes, thus making himself his own licenser and god, thereby the cause of any eventual wars that might come of such superficially guided mistreatment of others, especially in these evolution-based times that we've been drawn into. Man is always the guilty one who turns YHWH's love and justice upside down, either by ignoring the Bible altogether or in avoiding select verses to suit his own selfish sinful nature, then cleverly teaching it to others who are perhaps just as sold in those areas to want to believe such things themselves. Nonetheless and sadly, such things are almost always done to control others around them by way of oppressively-picked Bible verse contortions (and again, that's if the Bible is referred to at all).

Taking any hastily accepted seat of racially motivated higher status over neighbors or strangers is the first symptom we should notice among those who are incubating this most destructive disease of man's egodriven ethnic-based superiority. This is a self-serving attitude that we see re-emerging again in our time that is only wickedly lending all the more credibility to satan's evil eugenicist elite and their lap dog cronies (racist death gods) who want to rid our world of races who are "not like they are".

I will therefore start my chain of Scriptural evidences in a strong defense of my God's racially unbiased lovingkindness with a number of biblical illustrations showing the initial problems in man's pride. I thereby hope that it can help us begin to appreciate YHWH's unbelievably patient way of putting up with man's ego-centric ways. This even after we've so blatantly allowed our own cruelly demoralizing *"judgingbooks-by-their-cover"* false representation of this God to so terribly stunt our growth against His hopes to otherwise teach us humility in loving one another.

Pride, ego, self-esteem, and other self-aggrandizement flaws in men have always played some major role in why many of these people want to see something that is simply not in Scripture regarding this issue. Just as many of us will want to be seen with as much praise and attention as we can get when children, many unseasoned adults today still want to see themselves as the important ones over everybody else no matter their own personally attained and publicly recognized level of either a glowing or debased status in their society. A number of these folks focus on the outward surface aspects that they feel should be quantified into the mix to elevate themselves above others, rather than considering that there may be deeper motives behind what they are surely reading a little too presumptuously into from the blank margins around biblical text. They seem to conveniently avoid the deeper causal aspects that are the true underlying reasons as to "why" YHWH had chosen certain people

as His favored individuals and societies in the first place.

Those still influenced in any lingering characteristics of their "natural man" state, will tend to yet want to pride themselves in their own convinced better status, where many today desperately want to believe themselves to be "good people" even if only built upon their own internal feelings of one form of merit or another, or as we will discuss here today, by their assumed hereditary lines. Yet Yeshua (Jesus/Christ) taught us to view ourselves differently:

⁷ "And he [Yeshua/Jesus] put forth a parable to those which were bidden, when he marked how they chose out the chief rooms; saying unto them,

⁸ When thou art bidden of any man to a wedding, sit not down in the highest room; lest a more honorable man than thou be bidden of him;

⁹ And he that bade thee and him come and say to thee, Give this man place; and thou begin with shame to take the lowest room.

¹⁰ But when thou art bidden, go and sit down in the lowest room; that when he that bade thee cometh, he may say unto thee, Friend, go up higher: then shalt thou have worship in the presence of them that sit at meat with thee.

¹¹ For <u>whosoever exalteth himself shall be</u> <u>abased</u>; and he that humbleth himself shall be <u>exalted</u>. ¹² Then said he also to him that bade him, When thou makest a dinner or a supper, call not thy friends, nor thy brethren, neither thy kinsmen, nor thy rich neighbors; lest they also bid thee again, and a recompence be made thee.

¹³ But when thou makest a feast, call the poor, the maimed, the lame, the blind:

¹⁴ And thou shalt be blessed; for they cannot recompense thee: for thou shalt be recompensed at the resurrection of the just.

¹⁵ And when one of them that sat at meat with him heard these things, he said unto him, Blessed is he that shall eat bread in the kingdom of God.

¹⁶ Then said he unto him, A certain man made a great supper, and bade many:

¹⁷ And sent his servant at supper time to say to them that were bidden, Come; for all things are now ready.

¹⁸ And they all with one consent began to make excuse. The first said unto him, I have bought a piece of ground, and I must needs go and see it: I pray thee have me excused.

¹⁹ And another said, I have bought five yoke of oxen, and I go to prove them: I pray thee have me excused.

²⁰ And another said, I have married a wife, and therefore I cannot come.

²¹ So that servant came, and shewed his Lord these things. Then the master of the house being angry said to his servant, Go out quickly into the streets and lanes of the city, and bring in hither the poor, and the maimed, and the halt, and the blind.

²² And the servant said, Lord, it is done as thou hast commanded, and yet there is room.

²³ And the Lord said unto the servant, Go out into the highways and hedges, and compel them to come in, that my house may be filled.

²⁴ For I say unto you, That <u>none of those men</u> which were bidden shall taste of my supper.

- Luke 14:7-24

In consideration of the above "highways and hedges" chapter (as I call it), we see some variations on how humility and faithfulness should be the better qualities in men when we're called to our gracious Host's gatherings, and that's according to our Savior Himself, so let's dissect this example for some additional light on the subject.

Those who were bidden to the supper would logically represent "the chosen people" of YHWH in any given generation or covenant, agreed? But were all of these chosen people truly worthy of their own so-assumed label? Well, that is what I hope to show the real essence of behind this parable and others as we work through the Scriptures on this subject, as many were hypocrites, where the gracious host had them replaced, thus clearly cancelling out any such imagined inborn worth. Those who were of the poor, the maimed, etc., from the highways and hedges *(strangers, travelers and homeless)* in our story would essentially be the downtrodden who were born of the non-Biblical professing Gentiles or heathen, or any other people who were not of that professing "God chosen" (favored?) group who were first bidden to attend His feast. Yet would they really be considered a favored group of the Host's faithful friends if they were so readily apt to disregard the Host's invitation to partake in His supper?

NOTE:

Let's also keep in mind that this supper was meant to imply a feeding of the soul, not the belly, by the way, where we could also include the example of Mary and Martha from Luke 10:38-42 as a sub-topic if you would like to look that one up and think on that as well. Many are more concerned with carnal needs as Martha was, while Mary was at her Master's feet taking in His wisdom as her needful 'food'.

Hypothetically, could we say that the ones who were bidden but not very reliable might represent today's mix of overconfident and lukewarm but proud proclaiming Christians, Jews, Messianic Jews, modern Israelites (or any others who claim themselves to be "chosen" by the God of the Bible)? ... while the highways and hedges multitudes were so much more appreciative for a taste of this great supper that they more respectfully trusted their Host to humbly attend with much greater readiness and gratitude? According to this parable, which group of people would be better pleasing to the Host? Would it be the group ("race"?) who were proclaiming themselves as "faithful followers" and were thereby bidden but then too wrapped up in the world to leave it? ...or should we suppose that the Host would be better pleased with those 'outsiders' of man who, when invited, left their world to properly attend and thereby show their appreciation to the Host? Was it truly about <u>race</u> then? ...or was it about who had the right heartfelt hunger, sense of priority, and true appreciation for the Host's offered friendship and provisions in life?

Those today, who are claiming to be good Christians or strong believers, but who by "one consent" (as the KJV words it above), all decide to make excuses for why they can't attend their own professed Host's expected gathering(s), are they the "race" that is too overconfident in their invitation and feel that they can give reasons to not respect this great Host in his offered table? Are they the overconfident church system crowd who no longer truly respect, fear, or trust their great God, and therefore give excuses as to why they can't "come out from among" Caesar's hamster wheel of material securities to attend their God's sacred callings in such crucial moments of their expected faithfulness and duty? Are they the people who will miss out on the great provisional table of this great Host whereof the lower classes of us are now being adequately fed and provided for now?

> ¹⁵ <u>He that walketh righteously, and speaketh</u> <u>uprightly; he that despiseth the gain of</u> <u>oppressions, that shaketh his hands from</u>

holding of bribes, that stoppeth his ears from hearing of blood, and shutteth his eyes from seeing evil;

¹⁶ He shall dwell on high: his place of defence shall be the munitions of rocks: <u>bread shall be</u> <u>given him; his waters shall be sure</u>.

- Isaiah 33:15,16

Are "the poor, the maimed, the halt, and the blind", plus those from "the highways and hedges" multitudes, a better representation of those who were not so wrapped up in this world of oppressive systems and philosophies to then fully reform back to the supper Host's trust?

Is this about race? Or is this about loyalty, trust, faith, and gratitude?

Yet that's just for openers, where my racially advocating opponents might decry that I am not touching on the race issue in any realistic way yet. Okay, so let's look at this parable from the world's most common racist standpoint for these identity folks then, shall we? If a chosen race "white" man fears leaving Caesar's comfortable corporate-based world, while a so-viewed peasant class "black" man simply does as Levi did in Luke 5:28, and by total faith merely "leaves all, rises up and follows Yeshua", attending Him in His gatherings and accepting whatever status, responsibilities and provisions are to be afforded him by this generous Host via His great supper of life, is this scenario in any way due to race? Or perhaps could we attribute it to one's heart, his

13

trust, faith, and obedience? Which of the two is the better servant and believer? Which one is the rightfully proclaimed "chosen one" and which is claiming such a heritage but is in all reality not living up to his own boasted standards of biblical faith and obedience and thus... a hypocrite?

This leads me to ponder another of Yeshua's parables when He was addressing questions of the chief priests and the elders:

> ²⁸ "But what think ye? A certain man had two sons; and he came to the first, and said, Son, go work today in my vineyard.

> ²⁹ He answered and said, I will not: but afterward he repented, and went.

³⁰ And he came to the second, and said likewise. And he answered and said, I go, sir: and went not.

³¹ Whether of them twain did the will of his father? They say unto him, The first. Jesus saith unto them, Verily I say unto you, That the publicans and the harlots go into the kingdom of God before you.

³² For John came unto you in the way of righteousness, and ye believed him not: but the publicans and the harlots believed him: and ye, when ye had seen it, repented not afterward, that ye might believe him."

- Matthew 21:28-32

Which son represents the professing Christian who refuses to do as called and promised, and which one represents the late comer who, having first been disrespectful towards his father and had risked being disfavored, had a quick change of heart and did as he was called? Again, another variation of the highways and hedges situation. Is it about race? ...or about obedience and faithfulness? Which one was the hypocrite, and which became true after a little deeper thought? Does the hypocrite risk losing his seat at the wedding feast to the one who was not guilty of hypocrisy? Again, how does race fit into this picture? It doesn't. They were both sons of the same man, as we are all sons of Adam. Such parables are given us to teach lessons on duty, stewardship, trustworthiness, caring, friendship, faithfulness, etc, ...not race.

We can also see how the Father treats both accepted domestic peoples and "strangers" in this passage:

¹ Thus saith the LORD, <u>Keep ye judgment, and</u> <u>do justice</u>: for my salvation is near to come, and my righteousness to be revealed.

² <u>Blessed is the man that doeth this, and the son</u> of man that layeth hold on it; that keepeth the sabbath from polluting it, and keepeth his hand from doing any evil.

³ <u>Neither let the son of the stranger, that</u> <u>hath joined himself to the LORD, speak,</u> <u>saying, The LORD hath utterly separated</u> <u>me from his people: neither let the eunuch say,</u> <u>Behold, I am a dry tree</u>. ⁴ For thus saith the LORD unto the eunuchs that keep my sabbaths, and choose the things that please me, and take hold of my covenant;

⁵ Even unto **them** will I give in mine house and within my walls a place and a name **better than of sons and of daughters**: I will give them an everlasting name, that shall not be cut off.

⁶ Also the sons of the stranger, that join themselves to the LORD, to serve him, and to love the name of the LORD, to be his servants, every one that keepeth the sabbath from polluting it, and taketh hold of my covenant;

⁷ Even them will I bring to my holy mountain, and make them joyful in my house of prayer: their burnt offerings and their sacrifices shall be accepted upon mine altar; <u>for mine house shall</u> <u>be called an house of prayer for **all** people.</u>

8 The Lord GOD, which gathereth <u>the outcasts</u> of Israel saith, <u>Yet will I gather others to him</u>, <u>beside those that are gathered unto him</u>.

– Isaiah 56:1-8

Are any "outcasts", somehow of lesser stature later when they reform and return unto Him? No. In fact, we see here that YHWH said, "Neither let the son of the stranger *(any previous post-flood outcast)*, that hath joined himself to the LORD, speak, saying, The LORD hath utterly separated me from his people." He then promises "them" *(strangers and eunuchs)* "<u>that keep my sabbaths, and choose the things that please</u> <u>me, and take hold of my covenant</u>; *(in other words* from verse 6, those newcomers who had seriously chosen to follow YHWH's ways, even having been granted the right to offer sacrifices on YHWH's alter alongside the native Hebrews) "a place and a name **better** than of sons and of daughters"! He promises them a place and a name "<u>in His house</u>" and not just equal to, but BETTER than that of sons and daughters!

In this light can we not also consider the parable of the prodigal son in Luke 15:11-32 (of which most of you are familiar with I would hope), but did the father not welcome the son back with even more celebration and gladness of heart than the son that had never left?

How can we see race in any of this, I ask? Is Father's decision process not about keeping a loving respect for Him and His law? ... a law which nowhere divides people according to race but according to His law of love and our faith in that gracious lawgiver? Is not repentance a highly encouraged attribute to be regarded above such abstracts as "race" in a man?

Then there's the whole section of Hebrews Chapters 7-10, which covers the new covenant in a helpful expression of detail, of which I will ask you to read in your own Bibles as it is also far too lengthy to print here, but undeniably shows that they, "continued not in YHWH's covenant", "and I regarded them not, saith YHWH" (v. 8:9), where in the very last verses of those chapters we read this: ³⁶ For ye have need of patience, that, <u>after ye</u> <u>have done the will of God, ye might receive the</u> <u>promise</u>.

³⁸ <u>Now the just shall live **by faith**: but if any man draw back, my soul shall have no pleasure in him</u>.

³⁹ But we are not of them who draw back unto perdition [sin]; but of them that believe to the saving of the soul.

- Hebrews 10:36-39

Nowhere in those chapters do I find any mention whatsoever that refers to race or a man's color, but several places it's ordained split between the just and the unjust is defined as being exactly that and owed to whether one's nation is yes, either just or unjust according to YHWH's moral law, through obedience by faith, of which the purpose of this matured disciplined life is brotherly love.

So in having primed the pump on the ego and pride section of my offered evidences I ask, Does arrogance play a harmful part in man's ways of thinking that he is better than another due to his particular label or race? It sure does! We then read in the book of Luke:

¹⁰ "Two men went up into the temple to pray; the one a Pharisee, and the other a publican.

¹¹ The Pharisee stood and prayed thus with himself, God, I thank thee, that I am not as other men are, extortioners, unjust, adulterers, or even as this publican. ¹² I fast twice in the week, I give tithes of all that I possess.

¹³ And the publican, standing afar off, would not lift up so much as his eyes unto heaven, but smote upon his breast, saying, God be merciful to me a sinner.

¹⁴ I tell you, this man went down to his house justified rather than the other: for every one that exalteth himself shall be abased; and he that humbleth himself shall be exalted.

– Luke 18:10-14

Is this about race? ...or is it about pride versus humility issues? Is it about what label we wear in society? ..or about what we are weighing for our own judgments upon ourselves in our hearts? Which man in this parable, confident in his own status and classification, was likely to find himself gravely disappointed in his arrogance? Should he have not been more focused on his own imperfections, rather than seeing himself above any others, and especially not based on another man's exterior distinctions? But what does Yeshua say?

> "Judge not according to the appearance, but judge righteous judgment." - John 7:24

Did Yeshua say this just to have something to say? ...or was it even foretold in the Old Testament that He would judge beyond the outward appearance of man to then judge with fairness for all on the earth, and thereby judge rather according to righteousness versus wickedness? What did prophecy foretell of Him?: ³ "...<u>and he shall **not judge after the sight of <u>his eyes</u>**, neither reprove after the hearing of his ears:</u>

⁴ But with **righteousness** shall he judge the poor, and reprove <u>with equity</u> [equality] for the meek <u>of the earth</u>: and he shall smite <u>the</u> <u>earth</u> [not just certain races]: with the rod of his mouth, and with the breath of his lips shall he slay <u>the wicked</u>.

⁵ And <u>righteousness</u> shall be the girdle of his loins, and faithfulness the girdle of his reins."

- Isaiah 11:3-5

Is our Savior's underlying pleasure in any of us, be it individually or as the entire earth, to be seen as contingent upon which race we belong to? ...or is it upon a greater level of righteousness and faithfulness in the hearts of the men and women in those societies that He seeks, hoping to discover in them? Is it not about whose heart is given over to the Father with a true devotion of love, be it individually or as a nation?

What if YHWH was still actively categorizing people for us today, rightly based as before on the righteousness of geographic nations (not race)? Could America then be considered one of YHWH's chosen nations the way we stand right now? ...or are we today more likely to be seen as a lost nation of hypocrites where the overconfident and self-professed "chosen" of us are just as susceptible to damnation as impenitent Gentiles? And is it possible that the once favored can be cast <u>out</u> just as easily as repenting Gentiles are able to be brought <u>in</u> to YHWH's house and family? Are we missing something deeper that's been long hidden from us?

And what does the word "Gentile" really mean anyway? From the Webster's 1828 dictionary among other breakdowns it says: "A pagan; A worshipper of false gods; all tribes of men who had not received the true faith; all the nations who were not Jews or Christians, etc"

And so yes, if a whole nation is like our earlier Pharisee or like those who were bidden to the feast but were too busy to attend, or like the son which refused to do as he was told even after he pronounced that He would do so, then is that nation going to be honored by the Father as being His "chosen" people? Or does the Father choose a nation who, as a whole, had seemed to have the best overall uncompromised respect for His laws that teach true love and justice? Are any of those explanations in YHWH's Sacred Scriptures describing race or skin color as the rationale behind how He chooses men and nations?

As some of you may now be catching on, this dichotomy between us "old school" preachers and any race-focused believers is actually: *a contest between how <u>YHWH</u> classifies people vs. how <u>man</u> classifies people, respectively, as we will show in many more ways as we dive deeper into some fascinating research surprises on this subject later in this book.*

And so if any of you feel that we haven't hit the verses or arguments that you're thinking of, then hold on, as this exciting call to truth has only just begun.

DNA and certified pedigrees(?)

Does DNA play a part in one's ability to maintain his or her faith and righteousness in an admiration towards our great King of kings? If one thinks along the lines of how modifying our DNA could make us like vegetative beings unable to think for ourselves then there's such a possibility perhaps, and yet while none of us know that DNA can technically control any literal *spiritual* aspects or not (in any concrete ways at the time of this writing), we must still ask either way (giving opportunity for all the race advocates here):

Until such time that there becomes any solid evidence that the DNA could in any way show us something in contradiction to what we see written in the Bible, are not these people at least still claiming that the Bible is still their true source of guidance and instruction from their God? Well then, in the absence of any such relative scientific evidences on DNA (pro <u>or</u> con), several underlying questions still persist regardless:

"What then explains all the missing Bible verses and definitives where things like race or skin color is somehow yet the defining parameters that at all steer YHWH's decision making processes in judging either individual men or nations?" And "How does race or the color of our skin have anything to do, even in the slightest ways, with how well we ourselves, and both individually and as a society, are all held responsible to control our minds, hearts, and actions in being commanded to live our lives according to Father's moral character of righteousness?" There can be no argument that DNA plays a role in our physical constructional makeup, and that DNA is fast becoming an increasing part of our conversations in our present out-of-control world. Yet as we try to make sense out of all the intricacies involved, there is also a growing voice in the science community that is crying foul when it comes to the accuracies that other scientists boast of as if they fully understand the complexities in identifying the proclaimed functional details of this yet barely explored and uncharted information universe known as DNA. Is this not somewhat akin to how there were scientific voices being raised against Charles Darwin when he was proposing his own "science that is falsely so called" ? (ref. 1 Timothy 6:20)

It's also notable that many are coming out of the closets revealing fact after fact that the mitochondrial DNA identification aspects of this subject is about as useless as Carbon 14 dating (which itself has also essentially been a sad joke played on the peasantry as well, by the way). But no matter how accurately they may say they can go back in time tracing lineages, it is still impossible to know whose DNA came from which individual hundreds if not thousands of years ago. It'd be like trying to establish any randomly taken drop of water from the middle of the ocean and say with certainty where that drop of water was two or three thousand years ago, let alone each molecule. So to accurately trace one's ancestry back as far as any family tree might have records, is still likely the best one can get, which is at best only a dozen generations back for most of us, and even then, who is to say that

any woman in that recorded line did not cheat on her spouse and give birth to a child from another race or 'color' of people somewhere in that family tree lineage as well, thus blowing both the family tree lineages and DNA line theories right out of the water.

In other words, not one soul on this planet has any real idea of his or her true lineage and/or DNA evidences, no matter how much they might wish it to be true—no one.

This is much like how they endlessly tried to show us fossil evidence for the missing link between apes and man in the last century. One example that comes to mind was "Peking Man", which was supposedly discovered in the 1920s by a man who had found a single tooth claiming this was evidence for this ever elusive missing creature. An artist was hired to draw the supposed animal/man with just the knowledge of what the tooth looked like and it was paraded as fact for many years until it was later discovered that the tooth had been found in a town garbage dump near Peking China after the archaeologist had been given a grant of \$80,000 from none other than the Rockefeller Foundation. Is not that same foundation and the Bill and Melinda Gates Foundation behind all the virus. vaccine, and DNA issues today, hmmm?

Anyway, getting back to our lineage issue, when a husband is of British descent by recent record to maybe seven generations, and his wife is from Wales by a similarly reliable traced back seventh generational tree, and they both have extremely similar physical characteristics, does that always mean that they are both of the same "race"? Of course not. And could either race then also be unequivocally validated in a court of law to have also gone back to the tribes of Israel? Not at all, because there are usually countless breaks in either or both sides of their lineages breaking any ties to ANY of the ten tribes or even any remotely related line going back to Jacob at all for that matter, even by way of the long way around the barn (so to speak).

Would both husband and wife have certified pedigrees tracing themselves back to the original ten tribes of Israel where they could feel they are then both safely outside the realm of having been unlawfully married under such a racially specific Scriptural prohibition? No, not one soul on the planet can claim that with any certainty anymore after all the "melting pot" travel and intermarrying and whoring and lying around that has taken place worldwide, and of which could be well over fifty generations in their backlines even just since the time of our Savior's resurrection.

But yet that same married couple who had no idea of their true heritage, feeling safe that they are both "about the same complexion and physique", will often point horrified and unrelenting accusatory fingers at the clearly black and white couple that just got married down the street. Can we see the hypocrisy in this? Is it okay to intermarry so long as it's not *physically* obvious? Is that what these people are saying? Have we gone way over the edge in trying to put forth reasons for YHWH's intermarriage rules that are not really there? Where are the definitives that point to race, or even skin color in the Scriptures? Yet to make sure we've covered all of the intermarrying law aspects, we ought to be sure to include an interesting opposing perspective, where the Bible even speaks <u>against</u> marrying close relatives, which even goes <u>against</u> such closely related marriages:

"None of you shall approach to any that is near of kin to him, to uncover their nakedness: I am the LORD." -Leviticus 18:6

And although that means immediate family members and thereby not others of distant relative status, does that not still at least show the other end of that spectrum regarding marriage laws, just mentioned as food for thought here? And does it not also cause one to wonder, that if YHWH was so stern about making an actual law about who we can't marry in <u>that</u> regard, then would He not have also included a law in the midst of the many like it that the one above was taken from, that would have more clearly marked unapproved spouses specifically by skin color if such was truly the case?

In addition, if a man's most crucial status with the Father was all about bloodline, DNA heritage, or a deific royalty of <u>any</u> expressed kind, then why did Yeshua seem to even exclude his own mother and biological brethren as not worthy of such a royal line of kinsmen when told they were outside waiting to speak to Him?:

⁴⁶ While [Yeshua] yet talked to the people, behold, his mother and his brethren stood without *[outside]*, desiring to speak with him.

⁴⁷ Then one said unto him, Behold, thy mother and thy brethren stand without, desiring to speak with thee.

⁴⁸ But he answered and said unto him that told him, Who is my mother? and who are my brethren?

⁴⁹ And he stretched forth his hand toward his disciples, and said, Behold my mother and my brethren!

⁵⁰ For <u>whosoever shall do the will of my Father</u> which is in heaven, the same is my brother, and <u>sister</u>, and mother.

- Matthew 12:46-50

Wow! Did you see that last line? Does this demonstrate race or bloodline as any important factor in who Yeshua considers His true family? ... or do we see indications that an inclusion into Yeshua's family is determined by a responsible heart that embracingly follows YHWH's will, meaning faith in His law?

Can we find any word manipulation gymnastics where this verse can in any way be pointing to biological bloodlines or race as being of any top proprietary importance at all? Were not these attentive believers who were there eagerly listening to Yeshua in this gathering then being "welcomed in" to His family? ...and even by Yeshua Himself?

And what does "grafted in" really mean anyway? Does it mean that other accepted believers of men will be allowed to grow in some <u>other</u> vine or field? ...or does it mean <u>the same vine</u>, <u>the same family</u>? Look at the last verse again. It says, "whosoever shall do the will of my Father which is in heaven, the same is \underline{my} brother, and sister, and mother." That could mean no other than <u>His own</u> family, my friends.

Here's a part that the identity people will also have trouble with, where Paul had also shown how there shall be many "grafted in" to this "one and only" family tree or "vine":

¹⁶ For if the firstfruit be holy, the lump is also holy: and if the root be holy, so are the branches.

¹⁷ And if some of the branches be broken off, and thou, being a wild olive tree, wert graffed in among them, and with them partakest of the root and fatness of the olive tree;

¹⁸ Boast not against the branches. But if thou boast, thou bearest not the root, but the root thee.

¹⁹ Thou wilt say then, The branches were broken off, that I might be graffed in.

²⁰ Well; <u>because of unbelief they were broken</u> <u>off</u>, <u>and thou standest by faith</u>. Be not highminded, but fear:

²¹ For if God spared not the natural branches, take heed lest he also spare not thee.

²² Behold therefore the goodness and severity of God: on them which fell, severity; but toward thee, goodness, <u>if thou continue in his</u> <u>goodness: otherwise thou also shalt be cut off</u>. ²³ And they also, if they abide not still in unbelief, shall be graffed in: for God is able to graff them in again.

²⁴ For if thou wert cut out of the olive tree which is wild by nature, and wert graffed contrary to nature into a good olive tree: how much more shall these, which be the natural branches, be graffed into their own olive tree?

²⁵ For I would not, brethren, that ye should be ignorant of this mystery, <u>lest ye should be wise</u> <u>in your own conceits</u>; that blindness in part is happened to Israel, until the fulness of the Gentiles be come in.

²⁶ And so all Israel shall be saved: as it is written, There shall come out of Sion the Deliverer, and shall turn away ungodliness from Jacob:

²⁷ For this is my covenant unto them, when I shall take away their sins.

²⁸ As concerning the gospel, they are enemies for your sakes: but as touching the election, they are beloved for the father's sakes.

- Romans 11:16-28

And just as Paul intimates here, and no matter how one may wish to slice or dice it, and where I myself am not denying that the Father has favorites of all that are "in the vine" or "in the family", yet I again do not want to let it be known that I will <u>ever</u> support the exclusion of any man due to race or skin color alone from being fully welcomed as a true brother of the family of YHWH under one "kind" called man. We see in verse 20 above that Paul said, "<u>because of</u> <u>unbelief they were broken off</u>, <u>and thou standest</u> <u>by faith</u>. Be not highminded, but fear".

In other words, it was about a lack of faith that the original branches were cast away, and "by faith" were the enthusiastic of the Gentiles inserted in their place. (Note that the word "Gentiles" did not signify a "race", but was a common term for the entire world's nations of unbelievers.)

So be not highminded or arrogantly proud of your status, but fear losing it (he is essentially saying) as there is no respecter of persons with YHWH. He looks at our heart, faithfulness and obedience to His literal laws (not imagined or assumed causes of that law that are not in the Bible).

Consider the way Yeshua was said to have specifically loved John. Were the other Apostles then left out in the cold and no longer Apostles? Heaven forbid! John was just the favorite, just as YHWH and Son are also able to find favorites among men and nations.

So here again, what about such folks who somehow believe that they are absolutely certain that they are of some chosen race and pompously say that others are yes, "allowed to be grafted in, but they're not of the better vine like we are" *(as if there's more than one vine)*. The question actually lies in this train of thought:

How do these people know, I mean really know for sure that, in records of biblical blood brother divisions just as how Ham lost his status, and Esau, Ishmael, etc, which is not to mention Cain, that these people who are claiming this today are not also prodigies of one of a similarly split set of siblings themselves even if just somewhere in their recent lineage?

What if one of these identity people had a great great grandfather just four or five generations ago of which YHWH hated, but YHWH had loved that same great grandfather's blood brother in a pretty heavy duty contrast much like He viewed Esau vs. Jacob? Which of the two is any professing "royalty member" the actual offspring of in such a case? ... and that's not to mention how many countless possible such splits could have taken place in that tree over time. Could anyone really know such details? So going by the identity movement's own train of thought that "Jacob was loved, and so now everyone after that in that bloodline is loved (?)" (as if only the Jacob/Esau split was document worthy), then yes, every Tom Dick and Harry born after that would theoretically have a guaranteed spot in the resurrection, just due to their so-called race.

But such perfect horizontally branching family trees were never biblically recorded. All we need are more splits after Jacob to show that this is an ongoing problem as well, where under many following splits after Jacob, (some recorded, many surely not recorded) we could find even more infinite ways that there could be problems with <u>anyone</u>'s lineage today as we'll show.

Therefore, can these people who claim to be of the superior race, show us any real certified verification

for their own personal and literal long-running lineage that they too were not in some previous and similar way, ousted from this so-called "royal line" where perhaps a great grandfather was perhaps hated by YHWH as Esau was? ...making their present "race" just as outcast as Moab and Ammon, as we'll also see later? Plus, skin color would stay the same between many "Jacob have I loved, Esau have I hated" cases over time, where brothers of such splits were surely of indistinguishable complexions, albeit Esau was said to be hairier than Jacob. And no, its not about hair either, just as it is not to be determined by skin color. It's about one's heart and it's faithfulness to YHWH's righteousness, where one of those brothers had openly sold their birthright, publicly revealing his disrespect for YHWH's expectation that all should keep His precepts and hand them down in the family. So there too, it wasn't about race, but rather, who was tied to YHWH's heart with a generational continuity towards the further keeping of His law with a pure faith.

Everywhere we look, it is clear that the Father was upset with those nations and individuals ever since Cain's time who grew nonchalant and no longer trusted and obeyed Him. We see many instances where it is written, "for they are a rebellious house", or "for their land is full of abominations", or, "for they seek not to the God of Israel", etc, etc. Nowhere do we see a verse that says, "for their actual race/skin color is the problem".

Again, I am not claiming that YHWH does not have favored peoples, but just trying to show that He does not make those judgment calls by establishing how well their parents held a strict adherence to certifying their children in pure-bred racial pedigrees, but by each man's heart and how well those souls and societies hand down the Father's laws, statutes, and judgments, zealously teaching one another to ever perpetuate a harmonious loving kingdom for the Father's glory and the good of **all** mankind.

Is it possible (and I am just playing devil's advocate here for the identity people) that YHWH saw that the very poor of Israel, and for generations after Jacob, had kept their bodies undefiled and their DNA was somehow better preserved than those who were wealthier and quietly eating forbidden unclean foods? (Maybe they liked lobster or something, perhaps?) I suppose that could be entered into the possibility column, and that might be a reason that the Father then was able to say that they would also better keep the laws from there on out due to a better preserved set of DNA health that would also not hinder their thinking capabilities, but that part is only an unanswerable bit of conjecture on my part, and ... isn't relative anyway. And why not? Because it would still only amplify how YHWH knew that such a well disciplined society would better respect the keeping of His law to not end up as others today in thinking that He was looking at skin color. Interesting revolving doors that could be added here, but it still all boils down to the same dilemma for the race-based individual to biblically prove that YHWH judges the status of men by the color of the skin rather than by a true heartfelt respect for His law. Where...

Would a just judge, judge by color of skin? ...or... color of law?

Why did YHWH first choose Jacob (Israel) over the many other small families and tribes upon the earth after the flood? (Genesis chapters 33-35) Was it because he liked Jacob's hair, skin color, or other birth characteristics at that point?or... could it have been that Jacob was a man after YHWH's own heart, faithfully obeying Him and yearning to do what was right in the Father's eyes according to His law? Nowhere do we see YHWH changing his name from Jacob to Israel because he favored his race, but we do see Jacob viewed as the most zealously faithful of men descended from Noah, as the awarding of His new name clearly reveals in Genesis 35:10, especially when you understand the Hebrew language:

> ¹⁰ And God said unto him, Thy name is Jacob: thy name shall not be called any more Jacob, but Israel shall be thy name: and he called his name Israel.

> ¹¹ And God said unto him, I am God Almighty: be fruitful and multiply; a nation and a company of nations shall be of thee, and kings shall come out of thy loins;

> ¹² And the land which I gave Abraham and Isaac, to thee I will give it, and to thy seed after thee will I give the land.

- Genesis 35:10-12

The name "Israel" in Hebrew (Yisrael) is from several roots, of which "el" is YHWH, and "yashar", "to be

upright", and "you have wrestled with God", or we might put it all together to say, an "upright one who contends (wrestles) with God", in never wanting to let go, just as Jacob had earlier refused to let go of the Angel until He blessed him (see Genesis ch. 32). Or perhaps it could be best said in this conveyance: "faithful to God, ever contending for His blessings to remain upright for Him."

But then could this trait be "inherited" by one's genes, DNA, or skin color? I am in doubt of that and perhaps especially after all the talk we've heard regarding "the God gene" in recent years, where I would much rather lean on Scripture there as well that such a respect for YHWH is handed down in a *learned* way, where we are told that we should "Train up a child in the way he should go: and when he is old, he will not depart from it." — *Proverbs 22:6*

"Tell ye your children of it, and let your children tell their children, and their children another generation." – *Joel 1:3*

Would YHWH cruelly design such "life lessons" to be instinctual in some races and not others? ...or would He not give every nation and individual the chance to break any previous chain of God-hating generations in having earnestly reformed back to His ways? ...especially if they then passed them down to their own children by loving instruction? Would YHWH not then reward each family or society based on how well they continued to hold on to those ways? Which of these images seems more like the YHWH that *you* know?

In animals, much of the way that they follow YHWH's design is by instinct, where they have no consciousness to think things through to thereby make such free will decisions as man can do. So even in that light it is not about race or any "instinctual programming" in man, but about His free will choices to heed the call of YHWH and how well he strives to hand those better traits down to his prodigy. It is a matter of faithful people maintaining a socially encouraged wisdom that has an enduring respect for YHWH's will, handed down across homes, families and towns-a wisdom generationally protected by anyone and/or his society due to a deep love for their God and their family, caring even for distant future generations. This then builds a wholesome society of properly educated individuals who cherish YHWH first, and their families close behind. Love for the Father and others is therefore the ultimate key factor that is sought for by the Father in determining one's place in YHWH's family of righteousness, not race.

But yet, because YHWH's heart, law, and character of love is not rigidly "programmed" into our DNA as instinct (As YHWH would not that we would love Him or one another as mere robots, but of our own free will) He instead places the opportunity to share in His character as an offered wonderful gift that we are to examine and weigh with that free will of ours, Himself hoping in His own will "that [none] should perish". (ref. 2Peter 3:9) He'd rather we'd come to repentance and yearn to both learn and live that "divine" or "royal" character of love, and not just go through the motions of this world's imitation plastic ways of love. Either direction could remain labeled on a people for generations by YHWH one way or the other, and again, due to where one's "free willdeveloped" morals lie, not our inherited skin color.

This is how I believe that man has also developed some long running stereotypes and clichés like how Arabs are depicted as belly dancers or oil sheiks, Asians as martial arts or computer experts, and on the negative side the French are said to be short tempered, Irish alcoholics, Jews are penny pinchers, etc. I am convinced that, even the phenomenon of stereotyping is not about race itself, but about how progressing generations have gradually grown accustomed to accepting certain positive or negative attributes. They have thereby (gladly or not) handed down these traits as if these qualities were to be either honored if positive, or conveniently used as excuses if they were negative or even classified as sins. The positive is understandable on its own face, as one would surely enjoy being given credit for carrying any tradition forward of a well-viewed characteristic of his or her hereditarily acclaimed fame, make sense?

But those who were classified with negative points in their race-based stereotypes, would gradually find it difficult to overcome any long running stigmas of such a label. Thus it'd be easier for many to feel that such negative aspects had always been somewhat reluctantly accepted by their parents and grandparents and now they all have that stigma as a permanent part of their so called hereditary stamp of character. It is then that the excuse-seeking children coming up in these lines can then simply excuse away these assumed and thereby accepted "race-related" sins in themselves. It's then even used as a free pass by which they just continue in that particular sin under the convenient label of that stigma as well, rather than work to curtail those sins to reclaim a more godly honor for their people in trying to counter that stigma. And so once planted, on it snowballs unless checked.

This is somewhat as to how a sodomite might say that he is "born that way" where he then perhaps expects the world to roll their eyes but still accept his sin as "normal" for his 'kind'. No, he just hasn't been able to control his perverse lusts that he knows deep down are not natural nor wholesome in YHWH's design of things and knows the stigma must stay to keep the sin.

So much for the convenience of blaming one's own race based typecasting as well, I'm afraid, where we are designed with a free will by a loving God who hopes that we will cast off <u>all</u> these stereotypical manmade excuse loopholes and instead overcome any latent temptation to continue in those sins, and simply learn to love one another using the tools that He has given us come with our gift of salvational promise.

YHWH's "law of love" covenant and all the kingdom's benefits that come with it as "accessories" (the Holy Spirit, His protections, the new "heart of flesh", etc) is then offered as a gift package of knowledge and comfort, but only to those who desire, embrace, and follow that "heart of flesh" gift package once they should learn of it. This free will to accept or reject that law of love and all its rewards of the heart and soul is precisely why we have to remain vigilant and ever dutiful in not just our own family's carried forth hunger to firmly stay with YHWH's truth, but also why we must remain good stewards in our evangelistic duties in strengthening our weaker neighbors just as enthusiastically. Our neighbors' own "hearts of flesh" must be kept well practiced as our own, thus keeping our entire society out of YHWH's cross hairs of destruction in so doing.

But sadly, all of this has America lost, and not just us, but many other nations of the world as well, which is why YHWH is angry at <u>all</u> nations this time, not just certain ones here and there. So I ask once more: Is the melting pot of "race" the issue? Or is it more accurately the melting pot of too many Bibledeviating men re-inventing who should write the laws and classification systems for man, in order to force their own morals on the world including today's more recently created racial division inventions?

And who really is the true "Israel" today? Is it a race issue? ...or is it possible that, and just as I have said it for many years now, Israel is the scattered few "upright" *(and just as was foretold would be)* that would come from peoples all over the world. They'll come of every heritage pure or mixed, but who are yet the last of the caliber of Jacob's heart and his inner respect for YHWH's true Lordship over their lives who, no matter where such an heart may find that first inkling of YHWH, will ever wrestle with YHWH, not letting go until he blesses them! ...and that means to be blessed with the wisdom to also <u>know</u> true uprightness, in order to then rest our own hearts in knowing that our heart is now comfortably focused on advancing and preserving YHWH's law, a law which then safeguards His covenant with us in all His lovingkindness and glory, being then a peace flowing like a river in us!

A note of caution when dealing with others:

This is also why it says in Psalm 119:65, "Great peace have they which love thy law, and nothing shall offend them", because truly knowing the Father's law of love is to be free of the natural man's ego-centric focus which we know only helps to fester resentments and hostilities towards others and sadly today is also often sparked over issues of ethnicity. We may find people who are immovable on this subject, who may get angry rather than discuss or allow us to offer our evidences on this. So those who tend to grow resentful rather than present themselves open to comparing notes on any of the hundreds of passages against manmade racial superiority beliefs, are at risk in not fulfilling the law by love (Romans 13:10), and are left to then struggle with their natural man's domineering focus on "self". Their said race is then where they'll yet hang their greater confidence in their status, thus risking a loss of that peace in their consciences from not seeing the law and faith as their greater focus. When lofty emphasis is placed on the race factor, then the ability to have a true faith in the Father and His law must resultantly take a back seat to accommodate that other equal or greater race factor. At such a crossroads they may even be

weeping and gnashing their teeth due to having been quietly cut from the vine, having been faithful to his or her heritage more than their God, so it's wise to not argue too long with such folks if they're not willing to hear you out.

Jacob was faithful and had taught those generations after him the will and ways of YHWH from his heart, and the people seeing the blessings that came had received those handed down teachings of YHWH with better gladness of heart than the societies around them who hadn't a father like Jacob to steer them correctly. But as happens in every generational progression of <u>any</u> peoples, each lengthy time of prosperity and safety always tend to make a nation soft and lazy in their stewardship duties where they then stop passing such wisdom along. Thus it's not surprising that after so many of these increasingly worsening bouts of complacency, that the greatest time of apostasy in man's history is precisely what we are experiencing right now, and... in all people all over the world.

So again, as most on both sides of this issue will hopefully agree, YHWH does not appear to be singling out any individuals or any nation in particular right now to be punished (as barely a soul here or there is actually repenting and purifying themselves from their worldly corruptions as I write this) but it seems that YHWH is allowing punishment on the whole world right now. He's giving just about every man on the planet the chance they may have long harbored, to fight against anyone and everyone that he or she had ever wanted to take their aggressions out on, and so beneath all of the politically correct "niceness", unseasoned tempers are flaring.

Since the lockdowns and riots began, many of the people who are unaware of what is really taking place beyond what the media is telling them, are increasingly and arrogantly pointing fingers at one another as to who's fault all of this increasing death and destruction should be blamed on, doing so to the delight of our ruling class "animal trainers" who yes, want us doing just that and fighting each other rather than them. For another current example of this, and as of this writing (3-2021), this foolish in-fighting is being successfully perpetuated especially among the uninformed mass media followers who are thereby being programmed to grow ever angrier in their blame of the "non-maskers" for the spread of a disease that has now clearly been proven to be nothing more than our bodies trying to detox from terrain poisonings. (No virus, including C-19 has ever been isolated and therefore they do not even exist. "Covid" was merely a computer generated genomic bogev man to terrify the public into the deadlier poison vaccinations.)

And although the eugenic (racist) elite had previously done a lot of damage with their vaccine programs in minority nations such as India and Africa, the main nations that they have now since given the greater amounts of this new vaccine to *(untested by the way)*, are the same general areas that we find most of the Judeo-Christian populace. Vaccinated areas include much of the Christian Identity movement's own claimed migratory paths of ancient Israel, which coincidentally has been the U.S., The U.K. and Israel itself, with Israel having 5 million of their 9 million people already vaccinated under very oppressively mandated measures. Is this a replay of the Holocaust but on a larger scale, this time including the *(Caesar trusting)* lukewarm and backslidden Christians too?

And I see just yesterday how the protesters in Israel have formed a new political party to try and combat what is happening to them with the lockdown issues. Is this not like forming an *additional* golden calf idol when needing help in the wilderness? Will this mass effort to create yet another new god/authority system in Israel help bring YHWH's mercies back to them? Or is this yet another way of increasing the number of heathen parties and factions for further in-fighting in Israel as well, justifying but another era of wrath upon this nation of similarly claiming "chosen people" who also refuse to see the simplicity of a disciplined love in a Messiah they never wanted to follow the example of? Should they not be tossing out their historically infamous Talmud and instead re-reading at least that Torah and Old Testament, if not the whole Bible?

I see much prophecy fitting this picture for those who sadly, are not able to see the forgiving heart of a meek but discipline-teaching Messiah, but that is for another writing. Yet because the in-fighting yet grows among those people who do not yet understand that "the meek shall inherit the earth", and because it fits our emerging issues with such harmony in these times, here's a little taste of that prophecy in a few excerpts for those who are already resting in Yeshua's merciful heart with us: "Take my yoke upon you, and learn of me; for I am meek and lowly in heart: and ye shall find rest unto your souls." - *Matthew 11:29*

⁸ Good and upright is the LORD: therefore will he teach sinners in the way.

⁹ The meek will he guide in judgment: and <u>the</u> meek will he teach his way.

¹⁰ All the paths of the LORD are mercy and truth unto such as keep his covenant and his testimonies.

¹² What man is he that feareth the LORD? him shall he teach in the way that he shall choose.

¹³ His soul shall dwell at ease; <u>and his seed</u> [the meek and righteous] <u>shall inherit the earth</u>. – Proverbs 25:8-13

⁷ Rest in the LORD, and wait patiently for him: fret not thyself because of him who prospereth in his way, because of the man who bringeth wicked devices [such as racism] to pass.

⁸ Cease from anger, and forsake wrath: fret not thyself in any wise to do evil.

⁹ For <u>evildoers shall be cut off</u>: but <u>those that</u> wait upon the LORD, they shall inherit the <u>earth</u>.

¹⁰ For yet a little while, and the wicked shall not be: yea, thou shalt diligently consider his place, and it shall not be.

¹¹ But <u>the meek shall inherit the earth</u>; and shall delight themselves in the abundance of peace.

¹² The wicked plotteth against the just, and gnasheth upon him with his teeth.

¹³ The LORD shall laugh at him: for he seeth that his day is coming.

-Psalm 37:7-13

Can we not yet here again see that the judgment of YHWH's heart contains no discrimination of those of unlike color, but weighs their levels of meekness and righteousness? ...especially in these end times? Shall not the stone cut out without hands (Yeshua) and His army of meek righteous followers be the ones He will select out of "all peoples" to "in an abundance of peace" bring this global chaos, death and destruction to an otherwise *glorious* ending? Do we not also see other aspects in just the few verses above that show how those who have ulterior motives and ideals are going to be made visibly angry having lost YHWH's withdrawing heart and may have been cast into outer darkness where there is weeping and gnashing of teeth as well, fitting other past decades of my other published works on this prophecy picture?

In 37:9-12 above we see that the wicked (those who deny the literal deific law, having created their own adjusted version) will be cut off and (then being in "outer darkness") will gnash their teeth while the meek calmly inherit the earth. I don't want to be among the teeth gnashers, racists, the angry, any strikers, etc, but among the meek. How about you?

Are we going to weary ourselves in blaming this worldwide mess on everyone else's sins and never reform our own? Will we be fueling the race riots and police vs. civilian BLM related conflicts, etc.? Can't we see that we are being laughed at as they so successfully prod the gullible of us into destroying our once better understood and cherished protections come of a loving God? Well, this is exactly what the satanic elite are counting on, because it's precisely why they spent centuries contriving these divisive schemes to be such wedges between us in the first place. They just didn't count on YHWH awakening His true remnant back out of slumber where His rare few <u>meek and righteous servants</u> would *then* be the ones to bring "order out of chaos" <u>not</u> these Masonic secularists and Satanists!

Would not such a turnaround be a brilliant and glorious moment of ironic mockery upon these elite as Father would then take the final brass ring of global governance away from these cold hearted people at the last minute? ...to then reclaim and complete this "one world government" as <u>His own</u>? ...a government built on Love? !!!

So for those race supremacists of you out there who still think that we should all be "color coded" so that the unfavorable colors can be ordered into lesser areas or positions of the world and out of the way somehow... should any of these race issues show up in your town, remember that the few like myself who are trying to stand upright in fullness with YHWH will be at peace in at least knowing that we are not contributing to the problem, but trying to bring unity to the world with a love in our hearts for <u>all</u> of us, for <u>all</u> men, just as YHWH and His Son command us. Again, YHWH (and especially now) is not picking on any societies or individuals because of their race, even as we see this one world government being formed in our own time, as He says,

> "Therefore wait ye upon me, saith the LORD, until the day that I rise up to the prey: for my determination is to gather the nations, that I may assemble the kingdoms, to pour upon them mine indignation, even all my fierce anger: for <u>all the earth</u> shall be devoured with the fire of my jealousy." - *Zephaniah 3:8*

As we can see here, YHWH is going to pour out His fierce anger on <u>all the earth</u>. And why? In the preceding verse it tells us that, "...they rose early, and corrupted all their doings." Nothing on race or color, but on how they <u>corrupted all their doings</u>.

The satanic side has convinced many peoples, in fact essentially the whole world, that they are all good people some way or another, and that everyone *else* needs to repent (*not them*). Those of us who are agreeing in any way shape or form, have not truly "wrestled" with our great Angel to understand His true power of love that convicts us to the heart of such superiority conceits. So let's dig a little more...

Even the second Commandment itself clearly shows that it's about love and law, not generational heritage or any preconceived preference according to race:

⁴ Thou shalt not make unto thee any graven image, or any likeness of any thing that is in

heaven above, or that is in the earth beneath, or that is in the water under the earth.

⁵ Thou shalt not bow down thyself to them, nor serve them: for I the LORD thy God am a jealous God, <u>visiting the iniquity</u> *[lawlessness]* of the fathers upon the children unto the third and fourth generation of them that hate me;

⁶ And shewing mercy unto thousands of them that love me, and keep my commandments.

- Exodus 20:4

Does YHWH's above mercy stop due to skin color changes in His chosen people, thus interrupting generational lines? ...or does it stop with *iniquity* (rebellion against His law) interrupting those lines, ourselves having bowed down to and served other gods?

A few pages earlier I asked these questions: "Would [YHWH] not give every nation and individual the chance to break any previous chain of God-hating generations in having earnestly reformed back to His ways? ...especially if they then passed them down to their own children by loving instruction? Would YHWH not then reward each family or society based on how well they continued to hold on to those ways?

Well, what did we just read in the <u>actual second</u> <u>Commandment itself</u>? YHWH says, that He will visit the lawlessness of the fathers unto many generations, but <u>will shew mercy unto thousands of them that love</u> <u>Him, and keep His commandments</u>. Wow. Where is this so-called race idea in that one, I wonder? Repentant prodigies are also held independently responsible for themselves, and not to be punished for any sins of the parents. This would of course also include any carelessly tainted lineage links that were obviously outside the control of any children to come:

> "The soul that sinneth, it shall die. The son shall not bear the iniquity of the father, neither shall the father bear the iniquity of the son: the righteousness of the righteous shall be upon him, and the wickedness of the wicked shall be upon him." – *Ezekiel 18:20: (see also Deut. 24:16)*

It's the infected children who also willfully continue to "hate" YHWH in having agreeably acquired such rebellious sinful ways from the parents who will carry forth such a curse. Alternately, those thousands who (despite such parents) might otherwise turn back to love Him and break that chain, will be shown mercy. This again, per the 2nd Commandment itself !

Therefore, can there be any race issues inborn into an infant's heart? ...or is it taught them by the parents or their local society (nation), thus bringing YHWH's indifference and wrath towards those parents and nations?

A good friend told me (and I'm paraphrasing here) that he was more pleased with one son over the other because of how that child was more responsible acting in some ways. Well? Is this not because one son was the right race while the other was the wrong race?

Another loved friend also once told me that his own 13-year old son, when they were leaving another family's home had said to him in the car, "Dad, thank you for disciplining me." Because (as my friend stated) the children in that other home were "holy terrors" and the son was "glad that he wasn't like those other kids". Now, would YHWH look down upon one family over the other just because they might be of a different race? ...or would it make better sense and better fit Scripture as we just saw in some examples, how YHWH (and these fathers) would base all such preferences on which children were the most loving, respectful and obedient?

Let's look at these another way. My first friend told me that he was pleased with one child of his over the other "because of how that child was more responsible in many ways" giving me a specifically stated reason for this. The second friend also gave me his own specifically quoted reason for his pleasure in his son which was, "Because the children in that other home were "holy terrors" and my son was "glad that he wasn't like those other kids". Now consider it this way: Should either or both of these specifically given reasons as to why these friends were pleased with their sons simply be ignored where I should instead use my own judgment as to what I feel is perhaps the *real* reasons why they had found delight in certain children and not others? Would we not also then be showing our friends that we don't trust what they say? And are YHWH's specifically given reasons for His own favoritisms to be tossed out in a *similar* way? Are we not then also calling YHWH a liar when we do something like this?

Can we not see the complex problems that can arise when we don't look deeply enough into what YHWH actually says? Are we not dangerously teetering on a gang plank of our own making when we don't take His word for what He's literally said? Shouldn't we always be more careful to include all His surrounding text to confirm His own given reasons behind things?

Nowhere in Scripture do we see "given reasons" for YHWH's favoritism being of skin color or a man's "race", as the word "race" and its evil concept hadn't even been invented back then, which also helps to support my point as I will show in more detail shortly.

How is it that men can take any of this and in any way somehow twist it or extend it into a race issue? ...let alone make any bold statements based on skin color itself? Where in Scripture is there a single definition that gives the slightest hint that skin color is one way of determining who is "faithful" or "upright" and who is "keeping his commandments" and who is not?

I then ask us to consider how clearly we are shown interesting definitives or "given reasons" and with great detail, the methods by which to discern which animals are clean and which are unclean.

So yes, just for comparison sake let's consider how animals are divinely separated for us. We are given examples in Leviticus chapter 11 of how to establish which are which, being a lengthy list of defined ways of determining their clean or unclean nature. It's also helpfully reassuring to see how technology is even today finding confirming scientific reasons for why YHWH ordered us not to eat certain unclean animals! Should we not expect that YHWH would also give us information on how to determine which people or societies are clean or unclean for us in a brotherly sense as well? Well He has, but not according to race or skin color, just as He does not separate according to various 'breeds' *(another man-made classification)*, as if based on fur color, etc, but according to their "kind".

An animal is either clean or unclean based on its biblical qualifiers, such as animals with a cloven hoof, those who chew their cud, fish without fins, etc and so too can we expect YHWH to show us the reasons for why certain peoples are not to be "unequally yoked" with us as well? Well, in just about every decreed separation verse (if not all), we can find some reference to those people in their moral behavior in some way or another, or, their society's selfconditioned levels of obedience to either YHWH, or to some other false gods and lawgivers, but never specified as being an issue over race or skin color.

Species, kinds, and races

Can a coyote and a sheep dog bring forth? If so, then they are of the same "kind". Can humans of various types (races) "bring forth"? Yes. So are they not of the same "kind"? Then what makes men different from one another in YHWH's eyes? Is it the way they part their hair or whether they read right to left or left to right? No, its about how well they love Him and keep His commandments. It's about how well any given society has been willingly self-conditioned to believe regarding moral righteousness. It's about nations and societies that had "trained themselves up" to either embrace YHWH's law and be called YHWH's elect, or had otherwise been somewhat irreversibly corrupted *away* from YHWH' and His law. It's about either how righteous or how satanic those societies had become, not how the hue changed in their skin color somewhere in the past, as I would submit to you that those peoples that were deemed "wicked" by YHWH had almost identical skin tone as their chosen immediate neighbors that had, over some or many generations, remained "righteous". And remember that the word "wicked" itself is defined as "deviating from the divine law; addicted to vice (sin)" according to the Webster's 1828 definition of wicked.

Consider many of the fallen tribal lines that, before Yeshua's time, had themselves come out of the original line of the earliest Hebrews, and how many of them even to this day refuse to believe in the God of the Bible, but have been socially conditioned into other religions. Plus, how many "Middle Easterners" are actually claiming to be Jews while others claim to be Muslims, and so forth. They all (for the most part) refer to themselves as "Semites", do they not? Where is the biblical definition revealing which "Semites" are good and which are evil in the Bible, and... according to race or skin color? Oops! Maybe that's because the word "Semite" (which is not even in the Bible) wasn't actually coined until 1787. Hmmm.

I'd also like to see biblical evidence as to how "race" has any significance enough to over-rule disqualifying characteristics such as: a people who are wicked, a nation full of abominations, or even those societies that YHWH said were full of sorcerers and witches? And are sorcerers and witches to be deemed as new races now too? ... thus given an officially recognized race label themselves as well? ...done so that we can't see the <u>real</u> reason (witchcraft) that YHWH rejects them in His family?

"Thou shalt not suffer a witch to live." - *Exodus 22:18*

Is this verse considered to identify a unique type of race under such parameters of the identity people?

If one follows the trend of how the identity folks draw race conclusions out of the variously named people that YHWH had said in the past that we are not to intermingle with, I would expect that witches and satanists would surely meet the criteria of a kind of people that would be at the top of their "never intermingle with" race list, due to how such people's evils would surely more easily qualify them above any others as a most detested seed-defiling race then, eh? Are we sure that our parents or spouses did not come out of a family of witches six generations back? And is it not the sorcerers (Big Pharma) that are messing with our DNA in the labs today? (just saying)

Or was this verse a law come of Father's love for us *(that we are all unfortunately having to learn the hard way in our own times today)* being lovingly given us that we would remember throughout our generations *(and without a shadow of a doubt)* to keep witches (satanists) out of our land? Was it not given to protect ourselves and our children from satanic child sacrifice and the occult world's many twisted pedophiles, perverts and death gods? Did YHWH instruct us to so seriously cleanse our nation of witches and satanists because of race? ...or because they are an <u>extreme danger</u> to us, even if just morally? ...Did we listen?

Or what about the way YHWH had split the whole of His favored "race" of wandering Israel into two parts before crossing the Jordan in the time of the Exodus? Would their skin tones have been all that much different from one another all of a sudden that YHWH would have hated one group and not the other? Were they not all of them of the same nation or "race"? What could have been the issue, I wonder?

> "For the children of Israel walked forty years in the wilderness, till all the people that were men of war *[combative against Moses]*, which came out of Egypt, were consumed, <u>because they</u> <u>obeyed not the voice of the LORD</u>: unto whom the LORD swear that he would not shew

them the land, which the LORD swear unto their fathers that he would give us, a land that floweth with milk and honey."

– Joshua 5:6

So, was YHWH angry because skin tones were changing?

...or could we consider that, because a complacent and stubborn part of any previously believing people are always more prone to stay complacent apart from the more responsible laws of YHWH, and to a point that they might remain <u>such</u> a wicked society that YHWH would not only forbid us marrying into those people, but would even see to it that they were "<u>consumed away</u>" on top of it? ... if they were that much of a threat? Wow. Is it not then the <u>underlying security danger</u> in these rebels, and not how their <u>skin complexion</u> could be the <u>real</u> danger?

Or, perhaps might we hypothesize that obedience and faithfulness *was* the deciding factor, but only in the <u>Old</u> Testament, where race was to be the real issue in the <u>New</u> Testament and through the end times? What does prophecy seem to indicate to us on this?

²² And I saw no temple therein: for the Lord God Almighty and the Lamb are the temple of it.

²³ And the city had no need of the sun, neither of the moon, to shine in it: for the glory of God did lighten it, and the Lamb is the light thereof.

²⁴ <u>And the nations of them which are saved</u> shall walk in the light of it: and the kings of the earth do bring their glory and honor into it.

²⁵ And the gates of it shall not be shut at all by day: for there shall be no night there.

²⁶ And they shall bring the glory and honor of the nations into it.

²⁷ <u>And there shall in no wise enter into it any</u> thing that defileth, neither whatsoever worketh abomination, or maketh a lie: but they which are written in the Lamb's book of life.

- Revelation 21:22-27

Again, things that defileth, and are an abomination, or maketh a lie, are the problem, not race or skin color. It seems that it is still in our own time not about race but about one's righteousness and faith in YHWH that we are thereby either entered into the Lamb's Book of Life or not, but let's look at more N.T. clips to be sure:

> For there is **no difference between the Jew and the Greek**: for the same Lord over all is rich unto **all** that call upon him.

> > - Romans 10:12

²⁸ <u>There is neither Jew nor Greek, there is</u> <u>neither bond nor free, there is neither male nor</u> <u>female: for **ye are all one in Christ Jesus**.</u>

²⁹ <u>And if ye be Christ's, then are ye</u> <u>Abraham's seed</u>, and heirs according to the promise.

- Galatians 3:28,29

Wow. That passage is hard to find any loopholes or wiggle room in for those determined to believe that they have a superior status over other "different" believers due to a special in-born race or *"exclusive to us only"* favoritism somehow! It clearly says in black and white that it doesn't matter if you're a Jew or Greek, but that <u>one only need be Christ's, to then be</u> <u>of Abraham's seed</u>! Hmmm. Sounds pretty solid if you ask me. One only needs to be of the race of Yeshua, or the family of the truly converted, via His laws of love and justice, to be considered a part of Abraham's seed. And again, not one word about color!

But to be fair, any claiming to be "Christ's", should also be aware that it is not achieved with just a five minute prayer as many in the churchianity crowd sees it either, where we are all to walk out our faith, even from our first baptism, not abandoning our faith in bad times but bearing fruits worthy of repentance:

⁷ Then said he to the multitude that came forth to be baptized of him, O generation of vipers, who hath warned you to flee from the wrath to come? [In other words: "flee? No, <u>repent</u>!"]

⁸ Bring forth therefore fruits worthy of repentance, and <u>begin not to say within</u> <u>yourselves, We have Abraham to our father:</u> <u>for I say unto you, That God is able of these</u> <u>stones to raise up children unto Abraham</u>.

⁹ And now also the axe is laid unto the root of the trees: every tree therefore which bringeth not forth good fruit is hewn down, and cast into the fire. -Luke 3:7-9

Wow again ! There is no wiggle room for our race advocate friends in this passage either. I see no other explanation but that Yeshua is saying that no one is to brag that they have Abraham as their ancestor, as YHWH is able to raise up anyone He chooses to be His children, even out of the dusty earth again if He so desired. He then also warns them that those who are not careful in such things, in weighing heritage over their righteousness, might find themselves cut down just as an axe is laid to a corrupt tree, and even insinuating a corrupt <u>family</u> tree, it seems.

So is it not best to be pure in one's own given lot in life, no matter what that birth status might be? Of course! And to choose to be free of any sin or bondage to any other men ("Ye are bought with a price, be not ye servants/slaves of men" 1 Cor. 7:23) is always to be sought for in order to show one's true faith and loyalty, but nowhere is there any reason to preclude that we should feel superior to any other man due to any assumed heritage, race, or skin color.

As far as gender issues are concerned, some might ask, "Are there still existing biblical disciplinary expectations separate for men versus women?" Yes, but that does not affect their salvation or faithfulness status for either, but is critical for the man/woman harmonies between them in marriages and raising families under YHWH's design. But between "races" *(of which again the term is an invention of man in and of itself)*, all are equal under what was once considered the "human race" or the "kind" of creature known as man, or, the <u>man</u> "kind". There is no biblical distinction utilizing these slight variations under the only real heading of mankind that would remove any particular "types" of people from being under one race or 'kind' that again, was originally over thousands of years, only known as mankind, where geographic locations and Jesuit-sparked wars in the more recent centuries are fuels that began the socalled "race" classifications that we have today.

Just as Darwin and his cronies introduced the term "species" in the mid 1800s to begin their own classification system of the world's creatures rather than accept that YHWH Himself classified creatures into different "kinds", we have over time accepted this more recent subdividing of a singular human race as if there are *within* that one race somehow, many "sub" races. ...Simply put: Horse hooey.

A cat is a cat no matter what color he is, a dog a dog, and so on. Why is only the MAN "kind" to be subdivided by color unless there was an ulterior reason of an evil adversary to divide them into hateful warring sides, eh?

Ask yourselves a simple question: Why is "evolution" not mentioned in the Bible? Answer: Because it hadn't been invented yet. It hadn't become a lie that man had to deal with until the 19th century. Now consider my next question: Why is "racism" not anywhere mentioned in that Bible? Same answer. It hadn't been invented yet nor a problem at all until our more recent centuries as I'll show momentarily, yet just because the word itself was not in Scripture, does not mean that YHWH, in His loving foresight for us, was not trying to warn us that we should not be "a respecter of persons" in His own words ahead of time. So as far as YHWH's love of people goes, all peoples are of His single created race or "kind" and from there on they are either accepted or rejected based on how responsibly they are also obedient and faithful to His authority, plain and simple. YHWH does not seem to hold any real merit for anyone who is following another's created precepts or philosophies such as racism, which are then actually following the lead of those that have become false gods for such people:

"Judah hath dealt treacherously, and <u>an</u> <u>abomination is committed in Israel</u> and in Jerusalem; for Judah hath profaned the holiness <u>of the LORD</u> which he loved, and hath married <u>the daughter of a strange God.</u>" - *Malachi 2:11*

These identity folks may not allow themselves to marry into unqualified <u>skin color</u> peoples, but are actually doing even worse, because they are not just marrying the <u>daughter</u> of a false god, but marrying the false god himself! They are allowing such classinventive philosophers to distract them and thereby become their more respected leaders and teachers, a more serious infraction against the Creator than just marrying someone with an unfamiliar skin color.

Have these people, in Darwin's footsteps, become "dermatological imperfection classification experts"?and in a way that both YHWH and science have yet to understand?

...I don't think so.

Seed Issues:

How about an example from Ezra on "bad seed" issues?

¹ The people of Israel, and the priests, and the Levites, have not separated themselves from the people of the lands, <u>doing according to their</u> <u>abominations</u>, even of the Canaanites, the Hittites, the Perizzites, the Jebusites, the Ammonites, the Moabites, the Egyptians, and the Amorites.

² For they have taken of their daughters for themselves, and for their sons: so that the holy seed have mingled themselves with the people of those lands: yea, the hand of the princes and rulers hath been chief in this trespass.

- Ezra 9:1,2

I would like to ask another question here. Were not Ammon and Moab the daughters of Lot, the nephew of Abraham? Why then were the Ammonites and Moabites suddenly a forbidden people to not marry into? Was it because their skin color changed one day somewhere after the time of Abraham? Or can we suppose that perhaps there were other issues involved? Jumping down to verse 11, it is there clarified why they were forbidden to marry into these other societies:

> ¹¹ Which thou hast commanded by thy servants the prophets, saying, The land, unto which ye go to possess it, <u>is an unclean land with the</u> <u>filthiness of the people of the lands, with their</u>

abominations, which have filled it from one end to another with their uncleanness.

¹² Now therefore give not your daughters unto their sons, neither take their daughters unto your sons, nor seek their peace or their wealth for ever: that ye may be strong, and eat the good of the land, and leave it for an inheritance to your children for ever.

- Ezra 9:11,12

It had nothing to do with race or skin color, but the evils they became so engrossed in as a society. YHWH clearly wanted to keep their "seed" clean by means of wholesome generational and societal teachings of His truths and laws.

Now some may say, "See? We are to stay away from such people "for ever". And yes, they are correct. But why? Because of their skin color? Or because they refuse to follow YHWH's laws of love for one another? And... How do these identity people know that they themselves aren't descended from any of these people themselves, where, according to their own rules, they wouldn't be allowed to marry within themselves. It gets kind of confusing that way doesn't it? Does it not then make better sense that YHWH was telling them to stay away from them and/or any other peoples for ever that were that corrupt ? ...having named such evil societies by precise national name to make sure that His people could not find loopholes with any of those sinful people of that time frame and lawfully feel they could get away with, oh, say, marrying some of the more geographically distant of them (as some people will always try to "cheat" in cases of grey areas of law,

eh)? Otherwise YHWH's inclusion of Gentiles that came following Yeshua's time (that we are seeing here in this research) would not have been possible.

If we could give a more modern example of YHWH's differential treatment of "nations" (as opposed to "races"), let's compare the history of the Puritan influx to America at the same general time period of the persecutions and slaughters of Christians that were taking place in France. Thousands were being mercilessly tortured and murdered in France for merely believing in the God of the Bible, while early believers here in America had actually been setting up our literal governance systems on that God and His Bible, thus a most perfectly contrasting dichotomy of an example.

Following some of these Old Testament situations, YHWH would have forbade us to marry into that French social structure <u>due to their hatred for YHWH</u> and <u>His laws of peace and harmony</u>, (*not* because of race). So... Should we forever outcast every Frenchman in America today for all eternity because of their race's past deeds done to the protestant Huguenots of the 1600s and 1700s?

If you go by the Identity Movement's qualifiers that race is the real issue (especially after such atrocities were taken against YHWH's believers in France), then yes, if we were to eternally stay away from any such people or "races" after any such confrontations, then I would agree that no French should have been permitted as worthy spouses for a believing descendant of any of the ten tribes to this day since the Huguenot slaughter by the French. And we could then include Irish Catholics, the Norwegian Vikings, not to mention the Spanish Mohammedans, and many others as well, because <u>many</u> "races" had at least some occasional issues against the protestant remnants at one time or another in the past. It then leaves one to curiously ask, Where would such increasing race divisions and ever-splitting subdivisions ever end in today's growing mess?

And "why" do so many peoples of the world continue to keep splitting into factions and schisms in so many infinite ways in the first place? It's simple and has been what I have been saying all along here, which is that we are not keeping YHWH's law of brotherly love in any levels of real trust and respect anymore, that's why. Otherwise, Cain would have never slain Able, Ham would have not shamed his father, Esau would have never sold his birthright, and on and on it goes to our time where America would have never split into two warring nations either, both sides leaving YHWH for newly created gods, one side leaving YHWH for a donkey god, and the other for an elephant god. Are Republicans and Democrats therefore to be modern-day race-based societies now as well? ...and is this just as ancient Israel had split into north and south kingdoms, both not keeping YHWH's instructions in parted ways even yet today? Are some of you catching my drift here? Was any of this about skin color in these examples?

And how many of you know the *REAL* history of the American civil war? Do you know that the elitist-guided history books want us to believe that it was largely a war started over black slavery issues? Do some of you know better than that now, and can you

see how they are intentionally trying to get America back into yet another civil war in these very times we're in now? Do you want to help them create this new chaos? The elite want us fighting one another folks. Never forget their divide and conquer tactics !

Yet let's consider how even today's wicked elite themselves will (temporarily) tolerate one another's races in order to achieve their long-running twisted satanic goals of world enslavement. If <u>they</u> can work together out of <u>greed</u>, why can't <u>we</u> work together out of <u>love</u>? Can we not at least work towards loving one another for the glory of YHWH's laws of love and thereby overcome the elite's slimy moles and trolls that keep us fighting one another instead of them? How many of us are suckers to some form of this, one way or another?

Their overall goal is to get the (protestant) Christians all fighting and destroying the strength and endurance of one another first, then get the atheists and heathen from all peoples and nations to then join in and fight against the last righteous out of those believers who might be among all various nations and races worldwide, where ...

"And the brother shall deliver up the brother to death, and the father the child: and the children shall rise up against their parents, and cause them to be put to death." - Matthew 10:21

Had the root of YHWH's separation commands been about physical bloodlines (or "seedlines" as they are more recently called today)? Or were they given <u>with</u> <u>reason</u> so Israel would know <u>why</u> they must keep a heritage of YHWH's righteous ways above all others?

The deeper related history

The concept of dividing men according to their "race" (and even just before the word race itself had arisen) began with a small spark of an idea that was born in the very first legalized decree of a local official, Pero Sarmiento, a Catholic advocate in Toledo Spain on June 5, 1449, with a document titled, "Sentencia-Estatuto" where today's anti-semitism expert Leon Poliakov said it was "The first example in history of legalized racism".

It was an official edict against Jews who lived in that part of Spain who were refusing to convert to Roman Catholicism even under threats from the Spanish Inquisition (predecessors to the Jesuits). Poliakov also stated that "It also constituted the first anti-semetic restrictions—discrimination based on a racial, rather than a religious definition of Judaism." By the 1550s, Spain and the Roman Church had been selling black slaves to American plantation owners in huge numbers, and had stated that, "impure blood could taint a person's character". NOTE: It is now also known that Georgetown University (a Jesuit college) had also been selling over a thousand black slaves to the south in the 1880s to fund the college.

In a writing in 1604 by historian Fray Prudencio de Sandoval in comparing this "impure" nature of blacks and Jews: "Who can deny that in descendants of Jews there persists and endures the evil inclination of their ancient ingratitude and lack of understanding, just as in the Negroes *[there persists]* the inseparability of their blackness. For if the latter should unite themselves a thousand times with white women, the children are born with the dark color of the father. Similarly, it is not enough for the Jew to be three parts aristocrat or old Christian [*Catholic*], for one Jewish ancestor alone defiles and corrupts him."

The so-boasted 'Christian Identity' movement is fairly recent as well. To my knowledge there is no evidence of such biblically altered racist teachings like we have today going any further back than the 1500s at best. And there are very simple reasons for that as we may have already begun to see from the above. Christian Identity surfaced a lot like the "speaking in tongues" phenomenon had mysteriously come about in the 1800s, or how the Mormons and Jehovah's Witnesses arrived in the 1800s as well. Many such curious groups (sects) arrived on the scene in the same way that we saw the eschatological emergence of both Catholic teachings of preterism and futurism by two separate Jesuit priests, being doctrine peddled as newly discovered ways of "interpreting prophecy" during the expanse of the 1500s and afterwards, creating many newly called "denominations" (thus also aiding their divide and conquer goals).

All of these many upstart deviations that were secretly aided by the Jesuits and Freemasons (and always intentionally askew from a loving God's accurate contextual writing for us) had over time gradually pulled many once strong believers and better studied remnants into these newly created "denominations" (<u>demon-inations</u>) by means of what might be called (- ahem -) "exclusivity-based social enticements". They would tempt the carnally focused among those people who wished to be a part of a greater socially glorified status while remaining "Christians". It was almost like a trend those years back when you study all their histories. The Mormons claimed they were the chosen People, the JW's said they were Jehovah's true slaves, the Catholics had to adopt the motto that they were the one true church, the Identity movement, well, need I say more?

There were many other Jesuit created or at least cleverly commandeered factions that were begun and/or turned into self-aggrandizing cults that still exist, but most were all mild compared to a most evil racist Hegelian Dialectic contest ever created, which was the Ku Klux Klan vs. the Black Panthers! They both had Jesuit and secret society connections and funding at their beginnings. There is today a list of "chosen peoples"-type religions that could fill many pages here, all having serious carefully crafted but inviting deviations from biblical basics, all geared for the more self-focused of the Christian world. Almost all such sects and cults had Jesuit organized beginnings and began popping up after the Jesuits had become better spread following their creation in 1540.

The Jesuit successes then inspired Freemasonry's top brass and Adam Weishaupt's Illuminati to also join in where even more of these "chosen people" type sects sprouted up over the 1700s-1800s, but none of these organizations could have been popular without man wanting to "be as gods" in the first place, which made the Jesuit's job all that much easier in merely catering to what the less biblically grounded wanted anyway.

Even the word "race" itself was not used as a tool in categorizing men into different social classes and divisions until the late 16th century, as the Encyclopedia Britannica confirms, where it is fascinating reading should one wish to look some of these deeper references up for one's self, as even Britannica and others show that Kevin Bacon and William Shakespeare seem to have been two of the first to start using this term in ways applied to differences within the previously singular race or "kind" of man kind. In what I know after my nearly thirty years of studying a great deal of the secret society issues and the "who's who" behind much of this global cabal's sordid past, it is then easy to see that Bacon (who many convincingly assert was actually the ghost writer for this elusive man named Shakespeare, if they were not one and the same man) had some obvious deeper motives for this new term.

Bacon and/or/as Shakespeare and others were clearly working to begin dividing classes from one another. Bacon was also well recorded as having strong secret society ties and very dark influential world leadership connections in his day and worked extensively in such circles largely for these very intended political purposes that we see coming to a head today, where...

It is heavily affirmed now that the Black Lives Matter movement started in 2016 and has been heavily funded by Rome to play the Jesuit's old "thesis, antithesis, synthesis" war games opposite people such as the Christian Identity movement on the other side. BLM is now known to have been put into place to energize the eugenicists' communist/fascist agenda and global enslavement plans, hoping that both unwanted "races" [the churchianity 'race" vs. the African "race"] will war against one another rather than wake up and stand together with a loving God against their ruling class.

But let's back up.

Prior to the time of Yeshua, there were never any wars fought over anything known as races, but over territories, treasuries, watering holes, fertile farmlands, key travel and shipping passages, etc. It wasn't until Rome saw the threat of a religious people who they felt were threatening their growing one world empire of their day (with a new Messiah in town) that the ruling class of that time (Rome supported by a corrupt Judean leadership) then began to think in terms of classifying people according to labels other than their geographic nations.

So the 'Jew vs. Gentile' contrast became a universally accepted dichotomy rather than just an exclusive Jewish-used diversity to depict two "good vs. evil" groups defined by the Scriptures. Over time people were gradually also classified by their religious beliefs, but still not according to something like race or skin color. In the meantime, Rome morphed from a political empire with a Caesar as their head, into a church/state empire with a Pope as its head, where in trying to eradicate the pesky Hebrews and Christians who would not go along with their "one world government" plans, and yes even back then, had secretly created a covert religion we today call Islam. The Mohammedan religion or Islam as we know them today, is known to have been quietly but intentionally created by the Roman Catholic church to do Rome's dirty work for them in "depopulating" the planet of God's <u>new</u> chosen people being the Bible believing Christians (or we might call them "Israel continued" to simplify things for the newbies among us).

But more specifically, Islam was created by Rome through a wealthy Catholic nun who married an Arab man, Muhammad, and they groomed her husband's charismatic qualities to create a nation of people who *(as Ishmael was foretold to be the father of this "nation", see Genesis 16)* would be the "nation" that would stand against the most Scripturally accurate remnants that Rome wanted rid of. These were of course, the biblical remnants who were preaching YHWH's biblical government built on love.

The cruelties of Islam in doing a great deal of damage to the biblical populations lasted as a tool for the elite of the dark ages from shortly after 610 A.D. throughout another thousand years of the dark ages.

But nonetheless the true believing remnants, helped by the heavenly Father as they scattered and settled in secluded spots widely around the Mediterranean and thereabouts, thrived in small communities here and there even through all the persecution from both Rome itself and her secretly run Mohammedans.

Then began the reformation with a document nailed to the doors at Wittenberg college in Germany by a man named Martin Luther in 1517 which started a flood of people learning about the evils of the Catholic church, where in 1540 the Jesuits were formed to counter this seriously damaging biblical "truth movement". This new truth movement was called Protestantism because Rome claimed we were protesting the supposed true church. The legitimate believers that made up this protestant movement had been, by leaving the Roman church in droves, destroying the Roman church's income due to the widely published discovery that the papacy was the seat of antichrist along with other serious infractions against the Scriptures. But Rome was all the more infuriated because it was also losing its long enjoyed stranglehold on its vast peasant class.

Yet even at that point we still didn't have any such thing as "races" of people, as the world was essentially only divided into roughly four classes, which were Catholics, Protestants, Mohammedans and Asiatics. Outside of that, other men were merely men of different countries and languages, not races.

In that it was given to the Jesuits to do as much damage to the Protestant movement as possible, and their also being a military order, the Jesuits understood the centuries old war tactic "divide and conquer", and so they knew right off the bat to cause as much infighting and division as would be possible among the many new and fast growing protestant societies that were now more successfully and prosperously governing themselves under YHWH's laws of love. ...all colors included.

To wipe out the entire Protestant movement was the only express goal of the Jesuits, opposing the new upstarts of the Lutherans, Anglicans, Hussites, Anabaptists, and a flood of others in those times who were uniting under biblical standards and standing against Rome and that era's crude beast machinery. It was shortly afterwards that the more refined version of divide and conquer was devised called Hegelian Dialectic, which soon became a major weapon in the Jesuits' secret war against all protestant nations, peoples, and societies including any that held to the Bible as a literal moral guide or civil law book of any kind.

There are now even more complex versions of this Dialectic, but not for this writing. Suffice it to say that many of what man today takes for granted as if always having been a part of man's past, have been given us in ways that we were propagandized to accept as common "improvements" in the ways they are always packaged and presented to us. Such as...

NOTE: The modern accepted definition of the word "minorities" is also another way of further classifying people according to that new word 'race' as well. The commonly applied term "minorities" today was of a conveniently new <u>sub</u>-classification idea that further grouped people into classifications according to their numbers but could have only been used to help amplify race issues once the word 'race' was in use of course, because sorting numbers of people into majorities vs. minorities is used beneficially to political ends when based on the classification of race. Can we see behind the smoke and mirrors here now? Shrouded in a

politically correct way as well, "minorities" is also a new tool of man's invention not found in Scripture, but created for political "divide and conquer" purposes. In Scripture the terms "multitudes" or "the few" are used to suggest numbers of people, and this older impartial expression of terms did not cause people to immediately think along the lines of race, so it was inoffensive, being not based on race or skin color. And this is just as the phrase "chosen race" (if used more properly) should actually be stated as "chosen <u>nation</u>" if these identity people really wanted to set things right. See the difference? The elite's ploy is often hidden in cleverly concealed and shifty ways, my friends.

The concept of race was therefore intentionally devised and pushed on us by the Jesuits and other conspiring secret societies to divide and eradicate the biblically faithful. Ecumenism was likewise devised by the same elite groups of Romish-led satanists to simultaneously unite the biblical <u>opposing</u> of all walks into one <u>un</u>-biblical, <u>anti</u>-Christ unity, with their ultimate goal being to also eventually draw in the biblically illiterate from whatever last straggling serious churches they might yet be holding on to.

Some might feel confidently "chosen" as if they are safely in the home stretch, but may sadly be running on the wrong racetrack in a totally different "race", heading the wrong way altogether.

Unequally yoked

But getting back to our biblical defense against race issues here, the next item I'd like to touch on in my progression of thoughts is this verse that says that we are not to be "unequally yoked", and it specifically states <u>with unbelievers</u> (not the wrong skin colors):

> ¹⁴ Be ye not unequally yoked together with unbelievers: <u>for what fellowship hath</u> <u>righteousness with unrighteousness</u>? and <u>what</u> <u>communion hath light with darkness</u>?

> ¹⁵ And <u>what concord hath Christ with Belial? or</u> <u>what part hath he that believeth with an infidel</u>?

> ¹⁶ And <u>what agreement hath the temple of God</u> <u>with idols</u>? for ye are the temple of the living God; as God hath said, I will dwell in them, and walk in them; and I will be their God, and they shall be my people.

> ¹⁷ Wherefore <u>come out from among them</u>, <u>and be ye separate</u>, <u>saith the Lord</u>, and <u>touch</u> <u>not the unclean thing</u>; <u>and I will receive you</u>.

> ¹⁸ <u>And will be a Father unto you, and ye shall</u> <u>be my sons and daughters, saith the Lord</u> <u>Almighty</u>. "

> > - 2Corinthians 6:14

I also don't argue the fact that it is usually understood (and in anyone's race) that to marry into any clear racial differences (even though both may be very well grounded biblical believers) unfortunately brings with it potential cultural and discrimination issues. Such issues often make for difficult marriages due to family and social life being harder to deal with in many such marriages, yet usually only because of unfamiliar cultural and traditional differences in the merging families, not race itself. And had we not also been so lax in our biblical studies of these same brotherly harmony values over the years, even these cultural differences themselves would have never gotten so radically different between us as they have become in the first place. It is always because we have lost YHWH's standards of loving one another which is why <u>all</u> issues of division exist today.

But I would posit again, that it is not a matter of skin color or race that a loving God would cancel anyone's place in the book of life or accept them into His kingdom, as we have already seen in many ways thus far, but a lack of true belief and faithfulness in the God of the Bible through His Son's blood sacrifice.

Now also, remember that we no longer have to offer blood sacrifices like Abel had, to be seen more "righteous" than Cain, thus equaling the playing field so to speak, in the ways of being capably chosen as a favorite, albeit again yes, Yeshua had His favorites, such as John whom he loved. We all have favorite friends and loved ones do we not? So yes, Father does as well, and it is up to Him as to which individuals that He should choose to feel is more "holy" than others, even though a good many "*runner-up*" holy people will surely be saved just as officially into the family or vine, and again, regardless of their race or color. It is not up to us to "play God" and decide which color the more righteous people or societies are, but we're to only observe their actual fruits and/or their outward respect towards YHWH's law to evaluate everyone we meet according to that moral law. To judge based on appearance or merely on race (without any reason attached that would otherwise prove that such a race or society is any less biblically pleasing to YHWH than our own) is not just unbiblical, but in fact outright hypocritical.

¹ Judge not, that ye be not judged.

² For with what judgment ye judge, ye shall be judged: and with what measure ye mete, it shall be measured to you again.

³ And why beholdest thou the mote that is in thy brother's eye, but considerest not the beam that is in thine own eye?

⁴ Or how wilt thou say to thy brother, Let me pull out the mote out of thine eye; and, behold, a beam is in thine own eye?

⁵ Thou hypocrite, first cast out the beam out of thine own eye; and then shalt thou see clearly to cast out the mote out of thy brother's eye.

-Matthew 7:1-5

What if your "superior race" has some very serious infractions against Father's law, while a claimed "inferior race" has <u>their</u> faith in His law almost perfectly nailed? Which one has the splinter in his eye and which the beam? Which is the favored and which the hypocrite?

I am often discouraged and heartbroken by those who make more out of Father's clear societal separation instructions than what is the real issue and reason behind them. Yeshua showed us not just the law but also the grace and forgiveness behind that law, where sadly, the few of us who are yet unforgiving of all but our own kind, are left to see only the dark cloud and not the silver lining of the hope of YHWH's brotherly harmony for all.

With such people who are yet hung up on race issues believing that their race is somehow superior to another *(even though none can honestly and truly verify their "race" to begin with)*, and they don't see it from YHWH's vantage point of following His laws of love *(of which judging by appearance, status or race is also not very loving, by the way)*, then I am left with this thought for them:

> "...they had disputed among themselves, who should be the greatest. And he sat down, and called the twelve, and saith unto them, If any man desire to be first, the same shall be last of all, and servant of all."

> > -Mark 9:34,35

"But many that are first shall be last; and the last shall be first." - Matthew 19:30

Note first in this concept that Yeshua says "if any <u>man</u> desire to be first", rather than having said something like "any <u>Israelite</u> man desire to be first", or "if any "<u>white</u> man desire to be first". No, He clearly and simply says "man" as if to say <u>any</u> "man". So He is surely not speaking that they should think only as if among their own 'race' in any way, agreed?

Next, will those that feel that they are racially above others, end up reversed and as such people's servants as the passage suggests when this life is over? Will they have a heavy price to pay in their inability to see each man for the heart he has, rather than the way so many of them judge by appearance and deem such people inferior? Would not YHWH consider every man's disgust with other races as rebellion against YHWH Himself when He says to "love thy neighbor as thyself" (see also Matthew 25:40), where YHWH would then, in judgment, make that self-elevated man a servant to the very ones who had been belittled or persecuted under him when he was alive in this life? I am then reminded of this passage:

¹⁹ There was a certain rich man, which was clothed in purple and fine linen, and fared sumptuously every day:

²⁰ And there was a certain beggar named Lazarus, which was laid at his gate, full of sores,

²¹ And desiring to be fed with the crumbs which fell from the rich man's table: moreover the dogs came and licked his sores.

²² And it came to pass, that the beggar died, and was carried by the angels into Abraham's bosom: the rich man also died, and was buried;

²³ And in hell he lift up his eyes, being in torments, and seeth Abraham afar off, and Lazarus in his bosom.

²⁴ And he cried and said, Father Abraham, have mercy on me, and send Lazarus, that he may dip the tip of his finger in water, and cool my tongue; for I am tormented in this flame.

²⁵ But Abraham said, Son, remember that thou in thy lifetime receivedst thy good things, and likewise Lazarus evil things: but now he is comforted, and thou art tormented.

- Luke 16:19

Does this not give some hint of how YHWH sees oppression of one over another when one holds the power to relieve rather than afflict and does not do so? Again, does YHWH's law not tell us to "judge righteous judgment"?

"Judge not according to the appearance, but judge righteous judgment." - John 7:24

And yes, there will be circumstances where we might see one who willfully will not work and are poor by their own shameful lack of enthusiasm in life, but then are we not also encouraged to dig deeper into such souls and show them the better ways of YHWH's ethics, including work ethics? People who will not work but are obviously able to work are usually those who have not understood the true economy and joy of YHWH's kingdom. Do we then just walk by such people on the other side of the street because we have better things to do in making our own lives more plush and comfy than to stop and witness to such people? We could also take the secular phrase, "Feed a man a fish and you have fed him for a day, but teach a man to fish and you have fed him for a lifetime." *(author unknown)* and see how that has a similar goal as this verse: "Silver and gold have I none, but such as I have give I thee. In the name of Yeshua ha Messhiach, rise up and walk" *(Acts 3:6)*. Do we have the power to awaken and encourage the hopeless that we find in today's intentionally created atmosphere of utter despair? Is there not a great harvest time to be had right here before us in all men worldwide right now?

³⁵ And Jesus went about all the cities and villages, teaching in their synagogues, and preaching the gospel of the kingdom, and healing every sickness and every disease among the people.

³⁶ But when he saw the multitudes, he was moved with compassion on them, because they fainted, and were scattered abroad, as sheep having no shepherd.

³⁷ Then saith he unto his disciples, The harvest truly is plenteous, but the laborers are few;

³⁸ Pray ye therefore the Lord of the harvest, that he will send forth laborers into his harvest.

- Matthew 9:35-38

How many are passing by on the other side of the street when they see the poor or the downtrodden in life? ...or one of "an inferior race" in their opinion that is not worthy of their help? Where are the men of the harvest? Do we only approach and help those who seem "like us"? Are we, even if subconsciously,

snubbing our noses at those we feel are "lower than we are"? Are we like the Pharisee in the temple as we showed earlier, not wanting to associate with any of the poor and downtrodden of our world? Will not at least some hearts be reversed in status in the resurrection? Are we arrogantly sitting at the highest seat at the feast, not aware that we are about to be humiliated when the Host arrives?

Who are we to judge the heart of another man's servant? Fruits are often unavoidable to see, but the heart is what YHWH looks at. If we are second guessing YHWH's rationale on why He damns some nations or peoples and not others, then have we not made ourselves our own gods, thus risking our own hearts being found inferior to the people we are judging by their appearance? Does YHWH not judge each man's heart individually, singling out the rare ones of a wicked nation in order to spare them due to their heart's righteousness? What about Rahab?

"And the city shall be accursed, even it, and all that are therein, to the LORD: only Rahab the harlot shall live, she and all that are with her in the house, because she hid the messengers that we sent." - Joshua 6:17

(see whole story Joshua chs 2 through 6)

Rahab, was spared, and strangely some Identity people might wonder how this can be, if their taught race ideal is so true. Although even an admitted harlot in the Scriptures, she was found to have a respectful heart. Did Rahab and her household have a complexion that looked more "Israelite", perhaps? ...or was she and her family instead trapped in a society overrun in sin that she no longer wanted to be a party to?

Does YHWH judge according to appearance or race distinctions? ...or does He judge according to each heart's obedience and trust in Him, which when combined in consenting congregations large or small, leads to determining a good society from a bad? Even her adulterous state was forgiven her when she chose to aid Joshua in YHWH's kingdom's cause, how could race have been a part of any such decisions?

In Rahab's time, she was in Jericho, a city which was wicked to the core *(somewhat as how America is now)*, but she, being more fearful of the God of the Israelites, bravely stood against her corrupt king and had protected Joshua's men. ...Are we doing that?

Do you remember the verse we showed earlier in Matthew where Yeshua said that Harlots would enter into the kingdom before the overconfident chief priests would? Here is that passage again:

³¹ ...Jesus saith unto them, Verily I say unto you, That the publicans and the harlots go into the kingdom of God before you.

³² For *[because]* John came unto you in the way of righteousness, and ye believed him not: but the publicans and the harlots believed him: and ye, when ye had seen it, repented not afterward, that ye might believe him."

– Matthew 21:31,32

Doesn't verse 32 not clearly show the actual <u>reason</u> for this decision process? It was never about being faithful to what race we are in, but in being faithful in respect and fear of YHWH and His law. In Joshua, verses 1:7 and 8, we read:

⁷ Only be thou strong and very courageous, that thou mayest observe to do according to all the law, which Moses my servant commanded thee: turn not from it to the right hand or to the left, that thou mayest prosper withersoever thou goest.

⁸ This book of the law shall not depart out of thy mouth; but thou shalt meditate therein day and night, that thou mayest observe to do according to all that is written therein: for then thou shalt make thy way prosperous, and then thou shalt have good success.

- Joshua 1:7,8

And again, a few chapters later was that reminder of why many Israelites themselves had been "consumed away" before they could cross the Jordan...

> "because they obeyed not the voice of the LORD" - Joshua 5:6

Yet even after they had all crossed the Jordan (being symbolic of a fresh new society of people after the cleansing), and even after the battle of Jericho, YHWH still singled out a man of Joshua's soldiers who had disobeyed YHWH, and had taken the "accursed thing" from Jericho where Joshua then had him put to death for his disobedience *(see Joshua 6:18 - 7:1)*.

So some on both sides were exceptions to each overall group of people, and again... irregardless of their "race", agreed? Is it ever a matter of a literal race issue to YHWH? ...or of obedience to His instruction that instills loyalty and discipline for our own good?

Do we not yet see that YHWH does not group people into "all inclusive" categories in every circumstance? Do all men always have to be grouped in among other groups irregardless of their level of righteousness then? Would this not apply then to <u>all</u> the Israelites who had been born into Egyptian slavery? Why did YHWH make such a strong example out of some of them before crossing the Jordan? Does this not show that YHWH is always watching each and every individual regarding their lawfulness and faith in Him?

Were there not always some isolated hearts throughout biblical history that had been properly seeking YHWH's righteousness, or some on the other hand that were sinning that YHWH knew about? And did not Joshua yet go in and destroy "the wicked" of Jericho, having saved Rahab in so doing? And yes, Rahab and her family were left without the camp of Israel to go as they pleased, but were spared. We have little detail on her than what Paul the Apostle also made a brief mention of, herself having been honored enough to have been included into the "hall of faith" chapter of Hebrews 11: "By faith the harlot <u>Rahab perished not with</u> them that believed not, when she had received the spies <u>with peace</u>." – *Hebrews 11:31*

Was this singling out of certain individuals not also similar to how YHWH caused Nebuchadnezzar to order his captain of the guards to not harm Jeremiah during that siege? ...also leaving many of the poor and afflicted in Jerusalem to tend to the husbandry of their time in freedom? Then there's this verse as well:

"I will also leave in the midst of thee an afflicted and poor people, and they shall trust in the name of YHWH." – *Zephaniah 3:12*

YHWH works beyond the skill of a most perfectly trained surgeon, my friends, and diagnoses each heart's level of respect and obedience to Him.

When a surgeon opens a cancerous patient, does he remove the entire works of that body? ...or just the cancerous cells and "infected" areas? Just like a cancerous body, this present world is always breeding the cancers of wickedness which is rebellion against YHWH's laws, where the cancers had to be cut out because those unfaithful parts of the body of mankind were threatening to kill the entire world, as we are witnessing that exact scenario coming to a most evil crescendo right now as we speak. We have not been doing our jobs in evangelizing the world to YHWH's cleanliness and brotherly health with one another, and so now we are <u>all</u> reaping the rewards of our sick body, owing to our own unfaithful complacencies. For those who feel that they are yet somehow a chosen people by reason of their race or skin color (and that includes *any* melanin-varied peoples who feel that their color or bloodline is somehow superior and thereby aiding their salvation), I ask this question:

Is it okay to be a member of a race-mix-approving idolatrous people, so long as you are under the safe banner of being God's <u>chosen</u> race somehow?

Examples: Voting for one of the wicked Caesar candidates in any nation's election process is idolatry. Being found voluntarily joined to such wicked people is indeed also idolatry. But being joined unto a people who encourage the mixing of races would also be outright against the identity people's own professed platform, would it not?

By voluntarily signing documents that put them in unquestionable allegiance with such boastful racemixing nations as America, are they not sinning against their own damning precept that we should not only avoid being unequally yoked with unbelievers, but also not mixing races? And under their race rules that then add to this unequal yoking, would they not be much more guilty of having made a covenant with such a race-mix-legalizing Caesar? ...and even more so than we non-identity believers would ever be found guilty in such a way, because we are not claiming to be ambassadors of a god who judges a man for the color of his skin? Lovingly out of our compassion for people, we are to correct them of their sin, yes, but we are nowhere called to judge anyone over the color of their skin! They've irreverently changed YHWH's public image from <u>a judge of sin</u>, to <u>a judge of skin</u>!

Its under their own forbidden rules that one can't be a citizen of both "God's chosen people" and a citizen of a beast system that itself so freely mixes races (dual citizenship; serving two masters), yet many of these identity people who decry that we shouldn't intermix are even publicly signed in, being themselves "mixed in" as supportive citizens or willing contributors to this gigantic melting pot of race themselves. How can this possibly fit their own stated restrictions that they should not be intermixed with other 'races'? And this, while all along condemning others that YHWH's laws are never to be disobeyed. Again, is idolatry with such an intermixed system of false gods okay if you are of the chosen race? Does that erase one's sins of being tied to false gods? No, Sorry, their own stated restrictions make it an even greater sin, so it's even compounded hypocrisy in further added ways.

Only a rare few have come out from among Caesar's entire confusing dog-eat-dog mess, genuinely trying to rebuild YHWH's law of love in its place, living by example as we're taught to do as "living sacrifices":

¹ I beseech you therefore, brethren, by the mercies of God, that ye present your bodies a living sacrifice, holy, acceptable unto God, which is your reasonable service.

² And <u>be not conformed to this world</u>: <u>but be</u> ye transformed by the renewing of your mind, that ye may prove what is that **good**, and <u>acceptable</u>, and perfect, will of God. ³ For I say, through the grace given unto me, to every man that is among you, not to think of himself more highly than he ought to think; but to think soberly, according as God hath dealt to every man the measure of faith.

⁴ For as we have many members in one body, and all members have not the same office [Note the word "office" is used, not skin color or "race". There's a huge difference there.]

⁵ So we, being many, are one body in Christ, and every one members one of another.

- Romans 12:1-5

Everywhere we look in Scripture the false concept of rejecting people due to any form of appearance is rebuked. We are not to think more highly of ourselves than we ought to think. This lends yet another voice of witness to the parables that Yeshua showed us earlier in this book on that very subject, where too many people that I see today are engaged in one form of the serpent's unbiblical wickedness against his neighbor or another. They are then uprooting anything that is otherwise being done to alleviate this chaos by those of us who are trying to live in brotherly love, hoping to bring about a renewed peace in our time.

The entire world is therefore infected in countless ways with the cancer of rebellion against YHWH's wholesome design for a loving, harmonious world, living in a land of make believe that was suggested to them by today's serpent in the garden.

Reality Pollution

Some fight air pollution, some water pollution. I fight reality pollution. Let me explain how that fits-in here.

Rare few can deal with the true reality of this world and especially the responsible ways that we are called by our Creator to help make this world the actual utopia that YHWH designed it to be for His faithful, so the serpent never gave up trying to tempt everyone into their own specialized ways of escaping their part of responsibility in that reality.

The serpent knew that most people didn't want to have to work towards such a utopia that must include some levels of learning, discipline or responsibility in order to achieve its greater goals, so he spent centuries coercing them to envision themselves in a number of ways where they could have their own sense of predestined elevated worth that required little or no responsibilities. They could then be above all others of the world... as gods.

It had begun with Eve in the garden, and ran through many stages such as how Nimrod had convinced everyone in his day that they could build a tower to reach the heavens and become their own gods, and so forth, until we see the exponentially grown number of ways that every man today can pick his or her own way of feeling like "good people" if not gods altogether just by the religion or world view they choose. Well, that's so long as it's not exactly according to that dreaded book called the Bible that makes YHWH the true God and elevated One, as then people would need to be responsible to a holy God and may even have to trust Him to provide for them again when committing to being under His care, and not very many people would go for that. Again, except for a rare few of us.

I've been giving my all in hoping to lead by example, and being that all men are truly given a free will, I thereby hope to draw us all back to YHWH's loving ways. Every single one of us who would step away from this wicked world could then become exceptions to the rule out of whatever groups YHWH either prefers or which groups that He may be seeing endless rebellions from.

Yet instead of such a happy ending where masses might actually start a real trend of leaving this beast for a loving God, most of them are avoiding whatever responsible calling they may have had offered them in their hearts of flesh and are instead "wondering after the beast". <u>and</u>... in as many countless ways imaginable, they hope to avoid facing their part in YHWH's "be thou humble", "faith required" reality.

Some instead seem to be in denial of this God's offer and promise, terrified of just the thought of trusting Him ...by faith. So it seems they instead grasp for whatever tempting "instant rescue status" reality that the devil might even custom tailor to their heart's desire for them. Thus: A locked-in bloodline escape.

Also, not many are willing to accept some lower rung on the ladder of glory these days, where none want to start at the bottom, but want to stay in their levels of acquired comfort, looking as if accomplished saints and even ecclesiastic leaders as they, without justification of any biblical kind, feel confident in pushing other peoples to the side in claiming their secret higher status: a false reality.

Others of us however, are elated with the joy we're finding in feeling that we have been internally and spiritually granted a most wonderful tucked away dwelling of joy and contentment in being loved in YHWH's kingdom, regardless of the specific calling or status we might have been given, lowly or elect.

Some were called to be Levites, some singers, some ministers, some teachers, preachers, and some were left as John was by Yeshua to "tarry till I come" (see John ch.21), John being given very little if any actual instruction outside of sharing his own well grounded love for others. Thus with this illustration we get a taste of the "some to honor, and some to dishonor" aspect we mention just below and also later in this writing, but it has to be emphasized that every soul alive had been called to be loyal and responsible stewards to YHWH's law of love, no matter what their status in life might have been. Some souls were in-cluded from the Gentiles, some ex-cluded out of the Israelite heritage, but all by reason of our levels of respect for YHWH's law and our love and faith in that great Lawgiver, not on any man-contrived basis:

²¹ Hath not the potter power over the clay, of the same lump to make one vessel unto honor, and another unto dishonor?

²² What if God, willing to shew his wrath, and to make his power known, endured with much longsuffering the vessels of wrath fitted to destruction:

²³ And that he might make known the riches of his glory on the vessels of mercy, which he had afore prepared unto glory,

²⁴ Even us, whom he hath called, not of the Jews only, but also of the Gentiles?

²⁵ As he saith also in Osee, <u>I will call them my</u> people, which were not my people; and her beloved, which was not beloved.

²⁶ <u>And it shall come to pass, that in the place</u> where it was said unto them, Ye are not my people; there shall they be called the children of the living God.

²⁷ Esaias also crieth concerning Israel, Though the number of the children of Israel be as the sand of the sea, a remnant shall be saved:

 28 For he will finish the work, and cut it short <u>in</u> <u>righteousness</u>: because a short work will the Lord make upon the earth.

²⁹ And as Esaias said before, Except the Lord of Sabaoth had left us a seed, we had been as Sodoma, and been made like unto Gomorrha.

³⁰ What shall we say then? That <u>the Gentiles</u>, which followed not after righteousness, have attained to righteousness, even the righteousness which is of faith. ³¹ But Israel, which followed after the law of righteousness, hath not attained to the law of righteousness.

³² Wherefore? <u>Because they sought it not by</u> **faith**, but as it were by the works of the law. For they stumbled at that stumblingstone;

³³ As it is written, Behold, I lay in Sion a stumblingstone and rock of offense: and whosoever believeth on him shall not be ashamed.

- Romans 9: 21-33

We are all of the same initial lump of clay. What we are willing to be molded into is what determines our pliability with YHWH. Are we willing to be humble? Are we willing to be afflicted in order to learn the true pain of oppression? No matter our planned purpose by the Potter, we're to live under that Potter's will for each one of us, and the message again, is **by faith!**

—a faith in that Lawgiver and His righteousness!

And there's that preserved seed issue there again in verse 29, where we can see that they admit that YHWH had left them a seed. Think about this. Why would YHWH want to wipe them out in the first place? Had their skin color changed? Had they decided to become another race of some kind? ...or had they been disobedient, which can only come out of a faithless nation in some way? Look at verse 32. They sought their righteousness by works of the law, not by a faith in YHWH and thereby His disciplines in maturing them. Now, I certainly don't want to get into a "grace vs. law" debate here *(which is yet*)

another recent Hegelian Dialectic wedge driven between us by the way), but we can clearly see that whatever position one would like to take on these verses, there is still no way that it can be attributed to the color of one's skin, or something that hadn't even been invented yet called race.

So even though the reality was that YHWH is the lawgiver and tells us that we are to be justified by living in faith to that lawgiver, and that He makes the decisions just like a secular King would be expected to do but in a most perfect and just sense, we are all called, but few are "chosen". We all come from the same lump of clay, which is "mankind". The issue is, under what qualifiers does He choose such hearts out of that lump of clay? By race, bloodline, or even skin color? ... or does it make much more sense that He would characteristically select His elect by their faith in His authority? ... His Lordship? ... His law of love? I'd say the latter qualifiers, where He'd be consistent; seeking those living a life of a righteous heart that also evangelizes a brotherly harmony for all men, where once more, verses 25, 26 shows that...

> "I will call them my people, which were not my people; and her beloved, which was not beloved. ... and it shall come to pass, that in the place where it was said unto them, Ye are not my people; there shall they be called the children of the living God."

Meaning that the Gentile nations will be found faithful and called the children of the living God! And why is that? Did they suddenly have a change of race or skin color that was now approved as a new or acceptable race? ...or did they more realistically show a faith equal to or greater than the "chosen people" that had come before them? How does this really work if it goes by race and skin tone?

Therefore, the reality is that yes, the identity people are right about one thing, which is that YHWH is the God of the Bible and King of kings in the universe, able to do with men as He pleases, but the racially focused miss the part that they cannot become "as gods" (greater than others) alongside YHWH merely because of their old heritage, just as many past Jews saw that this did not work very well when they rejected Yeshua as Messiah and were "divorced".

Have we not, by now, seen a very confirmable trend in the Scriptural reasons <u>behind</u> why YHWH chooses peoples and nations? Do we still feel that it was all about race as the overconfident believe today, and just as the similarly overconfident Jews were left in the dark all those years, having been divorced from such a status? Is it not troubling that an overconfident Judeo-Christian world, as mentioned earlier, are perhaps being silently slaughtered as we speak via today's eugenicist vaccination program? Could its end make Hitler's eradication of <u>6 million Jews</u> from 1941 to 1945 look skimpy? And could the untrusting of these many people, one type or another, yet feel that they are safely God's chosen people, either then or today?

It is here that I find it necessary to enter into this collection of thoughts, something that might be very frightening for these discriminating people to hear, which is that they are placing a huge target on their backs in a world where the 99% are fast waking up to the eugenicist's plans to eradicate all of them one race at a time. Do these color-sorting folks really want to be a target of any awakening mobs when the righteous begin to inherit the kingdoms of the earth and the multitudes see how the identity people were only one race-based step away from being kissing cousins with their eugenicist counterparts? How would the world view them at such a point? Would this not be a very dangerous stance to be found in at such a transitioning stage of power?

The people with a faith in their racial identity movement ought to truly rethink their ideals and wisely distance themselves from that false reality of an imaginary color divided mankind and return unto a renewed faith in YHWH and His specific <u>written</u> laws that teach love for all. They must again by faith in that Lawgiver, humbly accept that whatever lot they have been given in life is not up to them to decide or arrogantly stand upon as judge over the rest of the world with, but to merely accept or reject whatever seating status at the banquet they are given by the host, of which not a single man on this planet can now ascertain for themselves with <u>any</u> surety or claims, regardless of <u>any</u> recent man-created classification parameters.

Thus I am myself content and ever the more grateful in assuming myself but at the lowest seat possible at the banquet, sometimes lonely but otherwise always at peace and content with that because YHWH oft uses those who are the lowest of stature to rebuke those of any man-elevated stature, that they might repent of their prideful positions and truly accept their God. I am thus implying that they accept Him not only as just *being* their God, but also accept however it might be that He has charged us to walk in <u>His</u> kingdom, being whatever identity that <u>He</u> has chosen for each and every one of us (not what <u>we</u> have chosen).

None of us knows our true heritage or status, nor will we until the time. We are all therefore called to fight the beast's reality pollution and bring people back to YHWH's true reality, under the great commission which is to "go ye out unto <u>all</u> the world preaching the gospel unto <u>every</u> creature" glorifying YHWH and His government built on love (not elevating ourselves), and should do so contentedly, no matter our present status in this apostate world.

It is my long observed experience that some of these identity believers that I had met years ago had seemingly thought themselves "good people" and thereby above the Father's judgment in some ways where they even continue to convince themselves of this when confronted with some of the Scripture that I am presenting here to you today. Now I don't say this in <u>any</u> derogatory way against any of these people, as I feel that most of these people had been drawn into this thinking not much differently than how people have been taken into the realms of "We're the one true church" Catholicism, "Man is his own god" Humanism, and so forth.

One recent fellow however, defends what he feels are biblical reasons for separation from certain races, his overall article quoting Jewish philosophers, a Jewish playwright and the book of Jasher to set the stage for his stance. He then later gives a few Bible verses here and there that only show YHWH ordering the actual separations of peoples, but somehow he fails to include the Bible's own given reasons as to *why* there's an isolation edict against those peoples (as we properly did here), but only shifts back to his other like-minded authors for more race-oriented theory. But what broke my heart the most was when he turned the discussion into a literal skin color issue, where, following several pages worth of anti race mixing dialogue (this section citing other authors' ideals but not the Bible) I saw in somewhat of a summary of that segment where he, at its end writes:

> "This is why it is commanded in the Word of God that the foreigners, while treated with kindness and respect, also not be integrated (if possible) into a society. Blacks should remain with blacks, Asians with Asians, and whites with whites."

Sadly, this author doesn't give any Scripture at all to support this actual <u>color</u> separation aspect that he claims is *(directly or indirectly(?)* commanded, and of course he cannot show such Scripture for this color separation, because it doesn't exist, which is typical of this movement.

My heart is broken for these people, much like the weeping prophet Jeremiah, and I'm so disappointed and heartbroken recently, that I finally had to force the time to simply sit down and write this book for these folks who yet feel that YHWH chooses peoples and nations (and seemingly also where they should be "allowed" to live I am left to suppose(?) all according to the color of their skin.

Let's step back a little further in that chapter of Romans 9:

¹⁴ "What shall we say then? Is there unrighteousness with God? God forbid.

¹⁵ For he saith to Moses, I will have mercy on whom I will have mercy, and I will have compassion on whom I will have compassion.

¹⁶ So then it is not of him that willeth, nor of him that runneth, but of God that sheweth mercy.

¹⁷ For the scripture saith unto Pharaoh, Even for this same purpose have I raised thee up, that I might shew my power in thee, and that my name might be declared throughout all the earth.

¹⁸ Therefore hath he mercy on whom he will have mercy, and whom he will he hardeneth.

¹⁹ Thou wilt say then unto me, Why doth he yet find fault? <u>For who hath resisted his will</u>?

²⁰ Nay but, O man, who art thou that repliest against God? Shall the thing formed say to him that formed it, Why hast thou made me thus?

- Romans 9:14-20

Here, rather than explain this one in my own words, I would like to insert an excerpt from Matthew Henry's

Commentary on this segment, where we find in that volume it says (and emphasis mine of course):

"In these God is willing to show his wrath, that is, his punishing justice, and his enmity to sin. This must be shown to all the world, God will make [sure] it appears that he hates sin. He will likewise make his power known. It is a power of strength and energy, an inflicting power, which works and effects the destruction of those that perish; it is a destruction that proceeds from the glory of his power, 2 Thess.1:9. The eternal damnation of sinners will be an abundant demonstration of the power of God; for he will act in it himself immediately, his wrath preying as it were **upon** guilty consciences, and his arm stretched out totally to destroy their well-being, and yet at the same instant wonderfully to preserve the being of the creature. In order to this, God endured them with much long-sufferingexercised a great deal of patience towards them, let them alone to fill up the measure of sin, to grow till they were ripe for ruin, and so they became fitted for destruction, fitted by their own sin and self-hardening. The reigning corruptions and wickedness of the soul are its preparedness and disposedness for hell: a soul is hereby made combustible matter, fit for the flames of hell."

YHWH is King of kings over ALL men regardless of race, bloodline, status, skin color, attitude, pulse rate, DNA makeup, or what brand name clothes they wear.

And Yes, He will have mercy upon those whom He will have mercy, *and*... whom he will he hardeneth.

Is YHWH not doing just as He did to Nebuchadnezzar in Daniel chapter 4, now reducing people to levels of their exhibiting not much more than a beast's heart and thusly today has hardened the hearts of perhaps billions of people around us? Would He not do this as a result of man's pride, man thinking that he is somehow above the reality of responsibly living by YHWH's will? ...man's faith being in himself instead? Was not Nebuchadnezzar himself knocked down a few pegs due to such pride? Oh oh! We're back to that messy pride issue again, aren't we?!

Are many of us yet walking around our own secure little palaces trusting in our own self-secured positions in life, often even as imagined "special people" or even as gods over the very poor and afflicted, while we remain comfy as onlookers in the stands with Caesar? ...ourselves having the imagined power to remain secure while our neighbors who are more courageous and faithful to YHWH even seem to be suffering at the moment?

How many are now, and much like Nebuchadnezzar, essentially acting like literal animals under this decree of YHWH's Spirit having been withdrawn from the hearts of men?

Look especially at verse 8 below and the bookend verses of 6 and 19 and see if it does not fit our times:

⁶ For thus hath the LORD of hosts said, Hew ye down trees, and cast a mount against

Jerusalem: this is the city to be visited; <u>she is</u> wholly oppression in the midst of her.

⁷ As a fountain casteth out her waters, so she casteth out *[spews out]* her <u>wickedness</u>: <u>violence and spoil is heard in her; before me continually is grief and wounds</u>.

⁸ Be thou instructed, O Jerusalem, **lest my soul depart from thee**; lest I make thee desolate, a land not inhabited.

⁹ Thus saith the LORD of hosts, They shall throughly glean the remnant of Israel as a vine: turn back thine hand as a grapegatherer into the baskets.

¹⁰ To whom shall I speak, and give warning, that they may hear? behold, their ear is uncircumcised, and they cannot hearken: behold, <u>the word of the LORD is unto them a</u> <u>reproach; they have no delight in it</u>.

¹¹ Therefore I am full of the fury of the LORD; I am weary with holding in: I will pour it out upon the children abroad, and upon the assembly of young men together: for even the husband with the wife shall be taken, the aged with him that is full of days.

¹² And their houses shall be turned unto others, with their fields and wives together: for I will stretch out my hand upon the inhabitants of the land, saith the LORD.

¹³ For *[because]* from the least of them even unto the greatest of them <u>every one is given to</u> <u>covetousness; and from the prophet even unto</u> <u>the priest every one dealeth falsely</u>. ¹⁴ They have healed also the hurt of the daughter of my people slightly, saying, Peace, peace; when there is no peace.

¹⁵ Were they ashamed <u>when they had</u> <u>committed abomination</u>? nay, they were not at all ashamed, neither could they blush: therefore *[because of this]* they shall fall among them that fall: at the time that I visit them they shall be cast down, saith the LORD.

¹⁶ Thus saith the LORD, Stand ye in the ways, and see, and ask for the old paths *[including ways prior to the invention of racism]*, where is the good way, and walk therein, and ye shall find rest for your souls. <u>But they said</u>, We will not walk therein.

¹⁷ Also I set watchmen over you, saying, Hearken to the sound of the trumpet. <u>But they</u> <u>said, We will not hearken</u>.

¹⁸ Therefore hear, ye nations, and know, O congregation, what is among them.

¹⁹ Hear, O earth: behold, <u>I will bring evil upon</u> this people, even the fruit of their thoughts, because they have not hearkened unto my words, nor to my law, but rejected it.

- Jeremiah 6:6-19

"Lest my soul depart from thee". That is a very scary thought, my friends. And one that is central to our time in the above parameters that we see happening around us, where just as in the first verse that explains how this wrath is due to the oppression that prevails in her, along with the last verse that rewards "this people" (*His own people*) with "the fruits of their thoughts". Now that we better see the origins of this race issue, are not the recent race riots a product or the "fruit of man's own thoughts"?

It says it clearly in more than one way in this passage, that He "will bring evil upon this people" "<u>because</u> they have not hearkened unto my words, nor to my <u>law, but rejected it</u>", ourselves writing our own manfocused separation laws in this case.

When will we learn? Does the entire planet have to be held in this "strong delusion", biting at each other's throats, battling for position and taking one another to war forever? Will man have to be nearly wiped out before we will stop fighting one another and realize that a true reality of loving paternal instruction intended to nurture brotherly harmony was the plan from the very beginning?

We had been given two main instructions that head up the Father's triune moral law system, which is to Love the Lord thy God with all our hearts, minds, souls, and strength, and to <u>love our neighbors as ourselves</u>.

If we are not loving our neighbor <u>as ourselves</u>, but as a lower being, do we truly love our Lord and God when we are at the same time rebelling against His second basic instruction that we love one another?

My dear friends, this entire life's purpose was to teach us as a birth-to-death schoolmaster, how to love one another so that we, no matter our heritage, could be justified for salvation in YHWH's eyes, should we wish to become a part of His Zion and kingdom in the next life. Are we still looking down our noses at others that are of an imagined lesser stature than ourselves in our own pompous minds?

Again, I think the second Commandment is due some repeating here:

⁴ "Thou shalt not make unto thee any graven image, or any likeness of any thing that is in heaven above, or that is in the earth beneath, or that is in the water under the earth.

⁵ Thou shalt not bow down thyself to them, nor serve them: For I the LORD thy God am a jealous God, visiting the iniquity *[lawlessness]* of the fathers upon the children unto the third and fourth generation of them that hate me;

⁶ And shewing mercy unto thousands of them that love me, and keep my commandments.

– Exodus 20:4-6

This Commandment is YHWH's reality—our reality. It is also the ultimate definition of how YHWH decides who are His people and who are not. It is solely His determination, and predicated upon which people do or do not actually love Him and His ways of love and justice, and... by faith! It is His Divine supremacy and none other's, to judge not just each individual heart but also that of anyone's surrounding society to be true or rebellious, and His verdict is always due to how committed He finds each man, woman and nation's level of love for Him under His commandments. It's about not bowing down to other gods (lawgivers and false teachers), and not about modern classification systems of race, species, or skin color that were created to deceive and divide true God-fearing people rather than unite.

It matters not what each of us were born into in the body of Yeshua, but that we accept what He had designated all members in the "race of mankind" to be best suited for and whereby each of us then best fit-in as we seek to be a part of that harmonious body. If we would first respectfully accept the humility aspects with a true reverence for YHWH's greater and wiser ability (as the Potter is justified to knock us down should we get too pompous and rebellious), we would then learn a most valuable lesson and thereby embrace His individual decisions about how our lot in life is not up to our inborn status, but how well each of us love one another. That test of faith being therefore founded upon that very thing, which again is our great commandment to love one another as ourselves, and that means *however* we were born into this world.

Compassion and a loving understanding towards <u>all</u> of "man" kind is essentially the written letter of the law of YHWH's system of love, where such <u>love</u> is the fulfilling of that law, not a perfect blood lineage.

Yeshua's blood covers whatever blood imperfections that <u>any</u> of us may have, which is why <u>His</u> blood is to be so precious and sacred to us ... not our own.

Does YHWH choose, as man chooses?

Do we shop at either Walmart, Target, the local "ma and pa" store, or any other stores because of the color of their buildings? ...or do we shop at chosen locations due to the selection they offer us, the quality of the products, the service, and of course the price?

Do we go to an Italian pizzaria just because of the race reason itself? ...or do we go there because those folks just seem to have a reputation of making a better pizza than the Japanese might? And alternately would we go to an Italian restaurant to master the handed down art of origami paper folding? But...

What if we took that a little deeper and chose stores based on the way they seem to have the right hearts and moral values for their customers and community?

With YHWH, it's in carefully selecting which society has best handed down a specialty of His guidance of better morals for one another and their nation. This is essentially how YHWH shops for those people and nations who He'd single out to act as retailers of His disciplines of love and justice, such hearts delivering their specialty of selling His governance plan for man in quality ways, always selling truth in love with meekness and gentleness and without oppressively taking from others, thereby being fair for all as well.

YHWH would shop for the individual hearts and societies that best represented His government built on love, and whose sales pitch would also honestly and unashamedly show the fine print of our burden of responsibility in that system, albeit how easy it is and how light that yoke is. YHWH would look for the ideal sales team of people that best explained how His will was "that none should perish", and that all with a pure heart, should consider His store where all can repent and be redeemed out of this wicked world without money. I just don't see anything like race in that anywhere, do you?

I then also ask, Had not the Jews themselves been found corrupted with oppression just as we saw in Jeremiah a few pages back, thus themselves being essentially 'replaced as His salespeople' by the early Christians who more enthusiastically accepted Yeshua as their Messiah? Did YHWH say to those first Christians, "Oh no, not you, go away! You are not the right race or color! You are not my chosen people!" Well? Did He? No. But it still amazes me after all these years of studying the Father's Bible, how the language in Scripture is fascinatingly given us in such a cleverly written form that it always leaves room for the naysayers to find ways to change the fabric of its wording. In doing so they feel they can somehow safely escape their duties and responsibilities and thereby stay in their sins of choice. If (that is) such determined sinners inwardly "want" to find those meanings between the lines. And sadly, as is ever so clear to see today, many then do find those loopholes. But for those with consciences to "love thy neighbor as thyself' with equity and without cheating in seeking loopholes, then everything we need to know is right there in that Bible, a self-disciplining system built on love, and a love for all.

The 'Jews' (real or fake) have not YHWH's favor due largely to a disbelief in Yeshua as their Messiah, and today are suffering in claiming such a royal status of (usurped or otherwise) blood lineage, self-proclaiming themselves as the over-confident chosen people of the God that had even so graciously warned them of such nerve. Will YHWH be rewarding that bunch that has also never accepted Yeshua as their Messiah? With what is happening to them, and just in geographic Israel even as I write this, it sure doesn't look that way. But yet as sad as their situation is, and even after all these years of rejecting YHWH's Word about the Messiah, don't they also yet falsely bill themselves as God's chosen people? How is it that just about every religion on the planet is now claiming to be God's chosen people ... but they don't trust So to borrow from an old 60's T.V. quiz Him?! show title, I ask with a sad smile for all of them: "Will the real chosen people please stand up?"

I personally know several very determined Jews today who feel, "I will not budge on the Jesus thing" (as one had very proudly retorted in my direction on the street one day), thus ever making themselves a great number of worldwide individuals who are going to find out the hard way that they are clear <u>exemptions from</u> (not <u>shoe-ins for</u>) YHWH's overall "chosen people".

It's sad but they may find themselves replaced on judgment day by a new number of more attentive meek and righteous evangelists who had become the exceptions on the other end of that spectrum, now being "grafted in" to take their place in that One true vine, and... no matter <u>what</u> race they really were!

Faithful servants of every color

No *weapon* that is formed against thee shall prosper; and every tongue that shall rise against thee in judgment thou shalt condemn. <u>This is</u> the heritage of the **servants** of the LORD, and their righteousness is of me, saith the LORD. - Isaiah 54:17

This is yet another way of saying that those who are classified in YHWH's heritage are those who are **servants** by a righteousness that **is of YHWH**, not other gods, lawgivers, or modern classification artists. This verse is very clear in its wording that a **servant's** heritage is *not* because of their race or color, but based on their faithful dedication to **serve** Him in a faithful capacity of <u>YHWH's righteousness</u>, meaning only <u>*His*</u> law and providences! The purpose stated for us is to overcome those philosophers of the world that devise weapons of false righteousness and philosophies for their own selfish egos and gain.

When being told that "no weapon that is formed against us shall prosper", racism is then clearly one of those false philosophically formed weapons where we are then called as His servants to <u>shame those who</u> <u>would rise up against YHWH's ways of law and love</u> <u>under some other authority's instruction</u>. (We're certainly not to serve or support those who teach cruel ousting practices due to any modern 'divide and conquer' inventions such as race or skin color.)

As per this verse we are expected to literally condemn those who try to rise up against us in judgment, being accusations that they might base on outside authorities whose righteousness is <u>not</u> of YHWH. YHWH's true representatives and servants are to thereby rebuke such false teachings while lively greeting the innocent righteousness-seeking stranger <u>for</u> YHWH. They are to evangelize the stranger, lovingly educating him, and once proven, even welcoming him into YHWH's family as a brother and equal servant in Yeshua, not as a despised "kind" of people who should be treated as if some lowly footstool, as if explicitly born to be sent into exile "with his own kind":

⁶ Also the sons of the stranger, that join themselves to the LORD, to serve him, and to love the name of the LORD, to be <u>his servants</u>, <u>every one that keepeth the sabbath from</u> polluting it, and taketh hold of my covenant;

⁷ Even them will I bring to my holy mountain, and make them joyful in my house of prayer: their burnt offerings and their sacrifices shall be accepted upon mine altar; for mine house shall be called an house of prayer for **all** people.

⁸ The Lord GOD, which gathereth the outcasts of Israel saith, <u>Yet will I gather others to him</u>, <u>beside those that are gathered unto him</u>.

– Isaiah 56:6-8

As clarified earlier, we're not to judge according to appearance, so I therefore do not judge any individual or peoples according to their outward skin tone or by any other heritage-telling characteristics of their face, but I judge righteous judgment and do my best to assess everyone according to his "ways" or the moral character that he or she might display. I do not hate anyone for how they were born as I truly have lived to love my neighbors, even including my enemies, where I especially can't find it in me to hate people because of race, but I do however hate every *false* "way" that anyone may be destructively spreading, just as my God judges individuals and peoples:

"Through thy precepts I get understanding: therefore I hate every false way."

"Therefore I esteem all thy precepts concerning all things to be right; and I hate every false way."

- Psalm 119:104 & 128

The 119th Psalm exhibits a treasure trove of affections showing how YHWH's people love His law and His "ways" of righteousness. Not one line expresses how affections should be aimed at the right race or color. Thereby we can also say: <u>Racism is a false way</u>.

On the other hand, there are countless verses in the following pattern that are found in innumerable places throughout the Bible:

"blessed are they that keep my ways."

- Proverbs 8:32

"blessed are all they that wait for him."

- Isaiah 30:18

"Blessed are they that do his commandments." - *Revelation 22:14*

And how about this heartwarming series of such from Yeshua's sermon on the mount:

³ Blessed are the poor in spirit: for theirs is the kingdom of heaven.

⁴ Blessed are they that mourn: for they shall be comforted.

⁵ Blessed are the meek: for they shall inherit the earth.

⁶ Blessed are they which do hunger and thirst after righteousness: for they shall be filled.

⁷ Blessed are the merciful: for they shall obtain mercy.

⁸ Blessed are the pure in heart: for they shall see God.

⁹ Blessed are the peacemakers: for they shall be called the children of God.

¹⁰ Blessed are they which are persecuted for righteousness' sake: for theirs is the kingdom of heaven.

¹¹ Blessed are ye, when men shall revile you, and persecute you, and shall say all manner of evil against you falsely, for my sake.

- Matthew 5: 3-11

And there are countless more like this throughout the Bible, but I thought this one was especially appropriate:

Blessed are ye, when men shall hate you, and when they shall separate you from their company, and shall reproach you, and cast out your name as evil, for the Son of man's sake. [as if doing so for Christ] - Luke 6:22

And this one that is so comforting to those of us who better understand these things:

"But blessed are your eyes, for they see: and your ears, for they hear." – *Matthew* 13:16

And why? Because...

"he knoweth them that trust in him."

– Nahum 1:7

But I have never seen even one verse that says blessed is the man with the right skin color, or blessed is the man who is of the right race. We may see verses that say, "blessed is the <u>nation</u> of Israel", but it is usually backed with something like, "for she is faithful", or "for Israel is righteous", not that she is simply the right race of people.

And yes, some may straddle this line and wish to use skin color to make initial or temporary judgment calls upon which they might be more skeptical and careful until they find out which God and which laws or "ways" any individual or group of people are servant to, but even that is not right either! We are not to lay hands on no man suddenly, meaning <u>no</u> man, and irregardless of any "first impression" judgment calls: "Lay hands suddenly on no man, neither be partaker of other men's sins: keep thyself pure." -1 Timothy 5:22

This verse tells us not to endorse or accept <u>any</u> man until they have been found to be inside YHWH's determinations of a faithful righteousness and not involved in sin. We are not to bring people into our close relations or ecclesias until they have been examined, and not for their color or race, but to verify their consistencies with YHWH's righteousness. In other words, we are to be healthily skeptical of <u>all</u> men (*"let God be true but every man a liar" Rom. 3:4*) discriminating only upon their moral beliefs, not on their outer YHWH-given birth characteristics or quality of clothing, etc. (*see also James 2:1-4*)

Because to make judgments on appearance of race or color (and even if just momentarily), is in my opinion, an injustice in and of itself and against all evidence I have seen in the 66 books of YHWH's self-defining Bible. We are to judge based on YHWH's ways, and nowhere in His ways do I see any specific laws that say that we are to degrade any soul (and that means permanently <u>or</u> temporarily) due to skin color or dissimilar facial characteristics. We have already seen in many verses from both the Old and New Testaments, where YHWH and Son say it very clearly that their ecclesia is for "<u>ALL</u>" people, and <u>all nations</u> will flow unto it, join into it, etc. Here's more:

"But the LORD said unto Samuel, <u>Look not on</u> <u>his countenance</u> [face; expressions], <u>or on the</u> <u>height of his stature</u>; because I have refused him: for the LORD seeth not as man seeth; <u>for</u> <u>man looketh on the outward appearance, but</u> <u>the LORD looketh on the heart.</u>"

- 1 Samuel 16:7

"But he that doeth wrong shall receive for the wrong which he hath done: and <u>there is no</u> respect of persons." – *Colossians 3:25*

³⁴ Then Peter opened his mouth, and said, Of a truth I perceive that <u>God is no respecter of persons</u>:

³⁵ <u>But in every nation he that feareth him,</u> and worketh righteousness, is accepted with <u>him.</u>

- Acts 10:34,35

Even in America's courts, I then ask, Should a just judge on the bench trying a case between two feuding families of differing nationalities, judge the situation based on race? Or would he more fairly judge on how well each family had been maintaining their civil behavior towards one another according to the law? Is our Creator not a just judge? Absolutely! And not only is He just, but He can also read our hearts, so He even knows when there are prejudices in such hearts based on race or color, making Him an even more reliable and "<u>extremely</u> just" judge!

⁸ If ye fulfil the royal law according to the scripture, Thou shalt love thy neighbor as thyself, ye do well:

⁹ <u>But if ye have respect to persons</u> [treat one differently than another], <u>ye commit sin</u>, and are convinced of the law as transgressors.

- James 2:8,9

Is it upon race by which we inherit His holy mountain *[kingdom]*? ...or by our trust in Him?

"but he <u>that putteth his trust in me</u> shall possess the land, and shall inherit my holy mountain; - Isaiah 57:13

And is it not because He knows the hearts of men, that His ways of judgment can be sure, and as a rock to anchor one's hopes upon in times of violent storms? ...a sure foundation of justice for all?

> ¹⁹ Nevertheless the foundation of God standeth sure, having this seal, <u>The Lord knoweth them</u> <u>that are his. And, Let every one that nameth the</u> <u>name of Christ **depart from iniquity**</u>.

> ²⁰ But in a great house there are not only vessels of gold and of silver, but also of wood and of earth; and some to honor, and some to dishonor.

²¹ If a man therefore purge himself from these *[iniquities]*, he shall be a vessel unto honor, sanctified, and meet for the master's use, and prepared unto every good work.

²² Flee also youthful lusts: but <u>follow</u> righteousness, faith, charity, peace, with them that call on the Lord out of a pure heart.

²³ <u>But foolish and unlearned questions avoid,</u> <u>knowing that they do gender strifes</u> ["generate quarrels"; J.P. Green, Greek interlinear or "to beget, begin" (conflicts), Webster's 1828].

²⁴ And the servant of the Lord must not strive *[fight]*; <u>but be gentle unto all men, apt to</u> <u>teach, patient,</u>

²⁵ In meekness instructing those that oppose themselves; if God peradventure will give them repentance to the acknowledging of the truth;

²⁶ <u>And that they may recover themselves out of the snare of the devil</u>, who are taken captive by him at his will.

- 2 Timothy 2:19

It's about keeping both the heart and one's remnant pure according to YHWH's Lordship. As the time after the flood began to soon be forgotten, only Abraham and Jacob continued in that vein to trust in the Father. YHWH, as always, then keeps a remnant of the people who have faithfully given themselves to Him. Here again, we see this in Isaiah 41, if nowhere else:

⁸ But <u>thou</u>, <u>Israel</u>, <u>art my servant</u>, <u>Jacob whom I</u> <u>have chosen</u>, the seed of Abraham my friend.

⁹ Thou whom I have taken from the ends of the earth, and called thee from the chief men thereof, and said unto thee, <u>Thou art my</u> servant; I have chosen thee, and not cast thee away.

¹⁰ Fear thou not; for I am with thee: be not dismayed; for I am thy God: I will strengthen thee; yea, I will help thee; yea, I will uphold thee with the right hand of my righteousness.

¹¹ Behold, all they that were incensed against thee shall be ashamed and confounded: they shall be as nothing; and they that strive with thee shall perish.

- Isaiah 41:8-11

"The seed of Abraham, my friend". Does that mean He chose them by the color of their skin? No, not if He is calling Jacob his servant, because a servant is one who reliably works for His master, is it not? It is one who is faithful. A good servant is a faithful one *and* a friend, for just as Yeshua said:

"Ye are my friends, if ye do whatsoever I command you." - John 15:14

YHWH created mankind for fellowship, meaning for friendship, for reasons of shared lovingkindness. What would motivate a man to want to flip that picture upside down and turn it into a picture of racism and hate? I would say to you that racism itself has sadly become an officially recognized way to conveniently release resentments and angers towards people we've never met, and often when we're frustrated with other things in life. We often seek others to blame for our own iniquities, especially in times of facing the wrath of a holy God. Racism is an abomination that YHWH and Yeshua would have condemned by name had it been extant in earlier times. It's then a very cold-hearted man-made form of sin that goes against everything the Father's system of love stands for, a system literally headlined by the great commandment to "love thy neighbor as thyself". If we then love our selves more than another man just merely due to race: <u>that</u> is sin. *(see again James 2:8)*

Again, it's not about race, but about continuing to bless one's family and society with a handed down tradition of respect for YHWH and His law as loyal servants and friends of His cause and kingdom. It's not a handed down tradition of any invention of man's socialisms, which is why "all they that were incensed against thee shall be ashamed and confounded", because such outside societies were not doing likewise and loving one another, and were thus not chosen to be the Father's better steered remnant. Where, just as any loving parent would do, He would then continue to help those children who helped themselves to stay under their trusted Father's guidance, throughout many generations, always strengthening those few who would yet maintain a heart for YHWH, even in times when their society might be gradually backsliding from His servanthood or faithfulness to Him, where He would then, by mild chastisement, remind them of their previous devotions to Him from which times they were better blessed. It's not about race, but about YHWH recognizing any continued generational lines of those who most faithfully sought YHWH and His counsel over man's.

Consider this: When the Ark had landed, there were very few people left to find spouses with, correct? Well, is it not possible that there were many intermixed marriages from the three sons of Shem, Ham and Japheth as they then slowly would have grown large as a family again and would have begun to spread around the earth? (see appendix) There was no recorded intermarry law at that time that we would be aware of, especially when it was seen that they were the only family on earth at the time. It was only seven generations later under Shem's line that Terah had begat Abraham who was then chosen as YHWH's "friend" as in the verse above.

And why did YHWH choose Abraham? Was it because He liked His skin color? ...or was it much more likely that, as we saw in Genesis 22, it was surely because of how Abraham was prepared to give up his son Isaac for YHWH, and just as St. Paul impresses upon us in Hebrews 11, Abraham had a very strong faith in YHWH, being the better remaining faithful "servant" to YHWH of the lineage under Noah's prodigy?

Is it race? ...or is it faithfulness to YHWH as one's true authority and provider in life?

YHWH, in Isaiah 42, says that He will use those whom He "has called in righteousness" ... "as a covenant of the people, for a light of the Gentiles". He says below that He will not give His glory or praise to those who worship graven images, but is expecting to open the eyes of the Gentiles and call them out of their dark prisons of false worship. And all this is because He has called us unto righteousness, not unto "race-respectfulness": ⁵ Thus saith God the LORD, he that created the heavens, and stretched them out; he that spread forth the earth, and that which cometh out of it; he that giveth breath unto the people upon it, and spirit to them that walk therein:

⁶ I the LORD have called thee in righteousness, and will hold thine hand, and will keep thee, and give thee <u>for a covenant of the people, for a</u> <u>light of the Gentiles;</u>

⁷ To open the blind eyes, to bring out the prisoners from the prison, and them that sit in darkness out of the prison house.

⁸ I am [YHWH]: that is my name: and my glory will I not give to another, neither my praise to graven images.

⁹ Behold, the former things are come to pass, and new things do I declare: before they spring forth I tell you of them.

- Isaiah 42:5-9

In v.9 He says that He is letting His people know 'before they spring forth" that they will not be the only nation or family of people who will be called out of darkness and false worship. It is then where those who come out of such darkness among the [nations] will be added to that family of faithful people, and there again we see no fine print verbage that they must be of any certain race, status, or skin color to come out of the darkness of the world and into the light of YHWH's realm of loving one another. So... Are there favored families and peoples in YHWH's way of determining good from wicked? Yes, absolutely! But His purpose is not to judge by race, my friends, but "in righteousness shall thou be established", not race, where as we skip a little further to Isaiah chapter 54 we find:

¹³ <u>And all thy children shall be taught of the</u> <u>LORD; and great shall be the peace of thy</u> <u>children</u>.

¹⁴ <u>In righteousness shalt thou be established</u>: thou shalt be far from oppression; for thou shalt not fear: and from terror; for it shall not come near thee.

- Isaiah 54:11-14

Will the Bible's classifications stay "race free" with believers even unto the end?

"And I saw another angel fly in the midst of heaven, having the everlasting gospel to preach unto them that dwell on the earth, and to <u>every</u> <u>nation, and kindred, and tongue, and people</u>"

- Rev. 14:6

"After this I beheld, and, lo, a great multitude, which no man could number, <u>of all nations, and</u> <u>kindreds, and people, and tongues</u>, stood before the throne, and before the Lamb, <u>clothed with</u> <u>white robes</u>, and palms in their hands" - *Rev.* 7:9

What if Yeshua, when He soon arrives taking His throne, should create a new form of classification called, "Family divisions". And what if He gave the parameters to be simply understood as: "All thou brotherly harmony servants shall ye determine in <u>my</u> family, and all from the nation of the racists shall ye separate unto satan to be members of <u>his</u> family." -2^{nd} opinions 4:U

Could that be what the identity people might find as a deserved reward for their having stood on a classification system that was never there? I myself, "would that none should perish", just as the heavenly Father has a will that "all should repent" of such things, but yet, every man has been given his own free will to choose things as he sees good unto him, and we can only do so much in trying to persuade those of such set ways and must go our way after some time, and allow them their own direction and will.

It is already beginning to be a very sad day in this world with so many people succumbing to the lies of the satanists and defiling themselves with poisons that they trust are going to be their salvation against an invisible viral enemy that doesn't even exist. And being that there are so few laborers working for a biblical harvest for all, YHWH can only bless the fruits of those who are standing with Him in the same lovingkindness and righteousness that He has given us His Biblical character of. We then have to accept that many are going to be slain, just as is foretold in Isaiah's final chapter of prophecy for us:

66:15 For, behold, the LORD will come with fire [*His convicting Word*; "Is not my word like as a fire, saith YHWH" see Jeremiah 23:29], and with his chariots [wartime messengers]

like a whirlwind, to render his anger with fury, and his rebuke with flames of fire.

66:16 For by fire and by his sword will the LORD plead with all flesh: and the slain of the LORD shall be many.

"...in the day [era] of the great slaughter, when the towers fall" - Isaiah 30:25

But here's the rub (as they say):

What if we wake enough people up to learn all of the truths behind man's lies, or at least the basic lies that we're already solidly sure of, showing people that they have need of a greater Savior than their beloved Caesar, then emphasizing YHWH's solution of a government built on love? Can we do so before the satanists can push us into any further in-fighting between us, and can we do so in spite of whatever issues they may yet have planned to prod us into such conflicts, as we are already starting to see with "the "maskers", versus the "non-maskers", or "vaxxers" vs. the "non-vaxxers"? ... a growing conflict of some form sure seems to be on the horizon, eh?

But what if we all start to look to the God of the Bible instead and begin to once again follow His ways of becoming peacemakers as our Savior Himself had been such a perfect example of for us in those ways, Himself sent of the Father? ...where He was faithfully followed by the Puritans who had also so sacrificially laid themselves on the line to hand such a better world down to us so many years ago themselves? Remember...

Yeshua was with us during a time when the world had no idea what was really going on behind the curtains, where now, we not only see behind the curtains like Dorothy and the gang when Toto pulled it open for them, but we see that curtain being pulled open before a completely global audience this time, not just in a little area called Jerusalem. And with "all these eyes now seeing Him coming" in at least a spiritual sense in our mind's eyes (hint hint), could we not also expect to see a happy ending if we all ignore our petty differences and stand with one another, abiding by biblical instruction in YHWH's lovingkindness to then actually become the generation where the whole world comes to YHWH's kingdom, just as foretold?

Could this be the time where "the Stone cut out without hands" (Yeshua; the Word made flesh) crushes this beastly image that is towering over us right now? Are we able to look beyond skin color and race to then understand that YHWH has much more important issues for us to deal with, like breaking ourselves away from the many recent sinful covenants and ways that man has invented in not loving one another?

Have we somehow missed some new Sacred Eleventh Commandment that orders us: "*Thou shalt separate all men according to color*?" ...I honestly don't think so. So should we not just walk, but even run away from any and all thoughts that this tenderhearted and loving God is in any way expecting us to be so cruelly critical of anyone else? ... and would we not do better to obediently shame those who *are* so cruel? Should we not also put our noses to the grindstone of study and rid our land of all the false systems that are destroying us, including the psychology/psychiatric lies that we also now know were largely put in place to not only destroy our faith in YHWH, but just as purposefully had been foisted on us to destroy our families?

The treasonous "Operation Paper Clip" in the 1940s had smuggled into America a shameful number of Nazi eugenicist psychologists to help destroy our biblical morality and families from within by pulling many into that time's Freudian "psychology", an intentionally devised system of word craft to uproot biblical and family morals, admitted by their own leadership of that time on recordings. In those 1945 recordings they also state that their goal was total world government. Is this helping us to see how they have us fighting one another in more ways than man has ever done before?

Is it not time to shed some serious light on their endless wicked groves and high places, including all of their academic race-hating indoctrination factories like Georgetown University? Is it not time to accept that we are all to be "repairers of the breach" and take up His Word in one hand and a trowel in the other, rolling up our shirt sleeves for the cause of YHWH's plan of rebuilding His government built on love? ... and maybe it only need be but this one final time for all eternity now?

Conclusion:

My brethren and friends,

If after all we've seen, one feels that YHWH is *still* a race-focused God, then it will not be because they've not been shown enough biblical proof of YHWH's motives, along with enough historic and current event explanation that they couldn't honestly make a more thoroughly informed decision on this subject.

I pray that all will have found at least some biblically confirming appreciation for our fellow man's equally measured individualities, and that YHWH is <u>not</u> a respecter of persons, but a just judge who chooses people and nations, Himself seeking hearts that embrace His governance system of laws that teach a wonderfully harmonious love for all men everywhere.

We all have the capacity to follow either our better hearts of flesh and live a righteous life towards our fellow man, or we can follow our lusts and remain a slave to our sin in oppressing those who were merely born with different physical attributes than ourselves.

Would we berate, mock, or refuse a man of our own color and race if he was born crippled? Is this not the same situation? Are we then, because of our cruelties to others under the cold ideologies of any such groups, not the crippled ones ourselves if our hearts are not working properly like those who have rather found it better to love one another?

Should then we who have such malfunctioning hearts not then rightfully be the ones ousted or even cast into separation camps as if unwanted misfits of society? Are we not then the ones who willfully remain crippled or blind to the actual reasons for YHWH's judgment call on men? Is this no longer a birth defect in our eyes, ears, and hearts, but even a nurtured wickedness in an entire immoral body of those who hold to these discriminatory beliefs about my God who is actually nothing but love and justice for all?

Is my God then justified when seeing such illnesses of the heart in His then excluding some, if not all, of His own chosen people at any time when He should see fit to reprove or punish such oppressive attributes? Which hearts are truly YHWH's, my friends? Have I not made a strong case for the greater love of this God? ...and have I not also shown a fairly solid case against those His detractors that want us to see Him as less tenderhearted than He really is?

The cards are laid open on the table my friends. Not one single one of us is exempt from being cast out of the Father's vine should we reject YHWH's laws of love and justice, and at the same time none of us are exempt from being grafted in, should YHWH find our hearts faithfully eager to serve a greater good than ourselves in thereby embracing, endorsing and thus sharing YHWH's laws of love and justice.

I could have shown three times the Scripture here that would have only been exhaustively further consistent with the same conclusion we've already found here my brethren, where the final verdict is the same: Nothing in the Bible defines or in any way qualifies anyone (born or not born of YHWH's chosen peoples) being due to race or skin color. ...nothing.

Historically, the word used before "race", was the word "nationality", where I even remember as both a child and young man hearing quite often, the question, "What nationality are you?" directed in the most friendly ways towards many folks in my travels. The word nationality at least more accurately described a man's origin based on what <u>nation</u> of people he may have come from, <u>not</u> a *race* of people based on outward characteristics of the flesh.

Who one willfully gathers with is what helps to both fashion and reveal that man's moral values, and if any professing believer chooses to gather only with those he feels are of the same skin shade and status, he has gravely missed the entire point of Yeshua's sacrifice on the cross in His having died for all who would love "others" along with Him.

Again, did Yeshua love John because he was of a better race than the other apostles? No. Yeshua loved John because He knew that John's heart was exceptional. Both YHWH and Son look at the inner tone of one's heart, not the outer tone of their skin.

Can any one of us risk angering the Father any further in these times? I beg each and every one of you to think harder my friends. YHWH is a God of love.

His love is a true justice-based love that has been the foundation stone of His judgments over all of mankind throughout all of biblical history, and was never about race. And hopefully with more people coming around to these deeper truths in our wicked world, we may see more hearts start to understand how the Bible so overwhelmingly shows that racism is not just unbiblical, but an actual sin. If YHWH Himself is not a respecter of persons, then it is an egregious arrogance on our part as mere mortals, favored race or not, to assume that we can judge such things of our fellow man ourselves.

So actually, I'm therefore very content to see this one world government growing, because I want a world that has one government as well, but I also know that this divisive "king of the mountain" mentality that many of us were duped into oppressing one another with is not scheduled to last, but I rather prefer YHWH's one world government built on love. How about *you*?

All glory to YHWH. Peace be unto all who love and trust Him.

-dwaine

"Seek YHWH, all ye meek of the earth, which have wrought his judgment; seek righteousness, seek meekness: that it may be ye shall be hid in the day of YHWH's anger."

- Zephaniah 2:3

Post script:

I have had moments when watching so many people of whom I love and care very much about, fall prey to the disease of "self". It is there where in feeling so left out in the cold without any way to reach them after the many various ways I have tried, that I had understood how one could literally die of a broken heart, and was unavoidably brought to also better sympathize with my Savior's suffering on the cross as He at the same time sought for forgiveness for those who had put Him there.

My over all pain at this moment though, is not for me as some might read my heartache, but for my Father in heaven and those who don't truly know His heart, and how the whole world truly is wondering after this beast.

Every soul wants to be treated as gods in life, none giving YHWH the real glory that He deserves anymore. No one. So on it goes, with many crying only for self.

But who cries out for God?

Appendix:

Being too large to insert into the main body of the book, I was yet compelled to include this selected segment from Matthew Henry's Commentary on 1 Chronicles 1, which is the Bible's record of the early genealogies. I found Henry's view of this to be wonderfully presented and simply had to include it for the reader (my emphasis added). Remember as you read this that <u>it was written 400 years ago</u>. —Enjoy.

This chapter and many that follow it repeat the genealogies we have hitherto met with in the sacred history, and put them all together, with considerable additions. We may be tempted, it may be, to think it would have been well if they had not been written, because, when they come to be compared with other parallel places, there are differences found, which we can scarcely accommodate to our satisfaction; yet we must not therefore stumble at the word, but bless God that the things necessary to salvation are plain enough. And since the wise God has thought fit to write these things to us, we should not pass them over unread. All scripture is profitable, though not all alike profitable; and we may take occasion for good thoughts and meditations even from those parts of scripture that do not furnish so much matter for profitable remarks as some other parts. These genealogies, 1. Were then of great use, when they were here preserved, and put into the hands of the Jews after their return from Babylon; for the captivity, like the deluge, had put all into confusion, and they, in that dispersion and despair, would be in danger of losing the distinctions of their tribes and families. This therefore revives the ancient landmarks even of some of the tribes that were carried captive into Assyria. Perhaps it might invite the Jews to study the sacred writings which had been neglected, to find the names of their ancestors, and the rise of their families in them. 2. They are still of some use for the illustrating of the

scripture-story, and especially for the clearing of the pedigrees of the Messiah, that it might appear that our blessed Saviour was, according to the prophecies which went before of him, the son of David, the son of Judah, the son of Abraham, the son of Adam. And, now that he has come for whose sake these registers were preserved, the Jews since have so lost all their genealogies that even that of the priests, the most sacred of all, is forgotten, and they know not of any one man in the world that can prove himself of the house of Aaron. When the building is reared the scaffolds are removed. When the promised Seed has come the line that was to lead to him is broken off. In this chapter we have an abstract of all the genealogies in the book of Genesis, till we come to Jacob. I. The descents from Adam to Noah and his sons, out of Gen. v., ver. 1-4. II. The posterity of Noah's sons, by which the earth was repeopled, out of Gen. x., ver. 5-23. III. The descents from Shem to Abraham, out of Gen. xi., ver. 24-28. IV. The posterity of Ishmael, and of Abraham's sons by Keturah, out of Gen. xxv. ver. 29-35. V. The posterity of Esau, out of Gen. xxxvi., ver. 36-54. These, it is likely, were passed over lightly in Genesis; and therefore, according to the law of the school, we are made to go over that lesson again which we did not learn well. This paragraph has Adam for its first word and Abraham for its last. Between the creation of the former and the birth of the latter were 2000 years, almost the one-half of which time Adam himself lived. Adam was the common father of our flesh, Abraham the common father of the faithful. By the breach which the former made of the covenant of innocency, we were all made miserable; by the covenant of grace made with the latter, we all are, or may be, made happy. We all are, by nature, the seed of Adam, branches of that wild olive. Let us see to it that, by faith, we become the seed of Abraham (Rom. iv. 11, 12), that we be grafted into the good olive and partake of its root and fatness. I. The first four

verses of this paragraph, and the last four, which are linked together by Shem (v. 4, 24), contain the sacred line of Christ from Adam to Abraham, and are inserted in his pedigree, Luke iii. 34-38, the order ascending as here it descends. This genealogy proves the falsehood of that reproach, As for this man, we know not whence he is. Bishop Patrick well observes here that, a genealogy being to be drawn of the families of the Jews, this appears as the peculiar glory of the Jewish nation, that they alone were able to derive their pedigree from the first man that God created, which no other nation pretended to, but abused themselves and their posterity with fabulous accounts of their originals, the Arcadians fancying that they were before the moon, the people of Thessaly that they sprang from stones, the Athenians that they grew out of the earth, much like the vain imaginations which some of the philosophers had of the origin of the universe.

The account which the holy scripture gives both of the creation of the world and of the rise of nations carries with it as clear evidences of its own truth as those idle traditions do of their own vanity and falsehood. II. All the verses between repeat the account of the replenishing of the earth by the sons of Noah after the flood. 1. The historian begins with those who were strangers to the church, the sons of **Japhet**, who were planted in the isles of the Gentiles, those western parts of the world, the countries of Europe. Of these he gives a short account (v. 5-7), because with these the Jews had hitherto had little or no dealings. 2. He proceeds to those who had many of them been enemies to the church, the sons of Ham, who moved southward towards Africa and those parts of Asia which lay that way. Nimrod the son of Cush began to be an oppressor, probably to the people of God in his time. But Mizraim, from whom came the Egyptians, and Canaan, from whom came the Canaanites, are both of them names of great note in the Jewish story; for with their

descendants the Israel of God had severe struggles to get out of the land of Egypt and into the land of Canaan; and therefore the branches of Mizraim are particularly recorded (v. 11, 12), and of Canaan, v. 13-16. See at what a rate God valued Israel when he gave Egypt for their ransom (Isa. xliii. 3), and cast out all these nations before them, Ps. lxx. 8. 3. He then gives an account of those that were the ancestors and allies of the church, the posterity of Shem, v. 17-23. These peopled Asia, and spread themselves eastward. The Assyrians, Syrians, Chaldeans, Persians, and Arabians, descended from these. At first the originals of the respective nations were known; but at this day, we have reason to think, the nations are so mingled with one another, by the enlargement of commerce and dominion, the transplanting of colonies, the carrying away of captives, and many other circumstances, that no one nation, no, nor the greatest part of any, is descended entire from any one of these fountains. Only this we are sure of, that God has created of one blood all nations of men; they have all descended from one Adam, one Noah. Have we not all one father? Has not one God created us? Mal. ii. 10. Our register hastens to the line of Abraham, breaking off abruptly from all the other families of the sons of Noah but that of Arphaxad, from whom Christ was to come. The great promise of the Messiah (says bishop Patrick) was translated from Adam to Seth, from him to Shem, from him to Eber, and so to the Hebrew nation, who were entrusted, above all nations, with that sacred treasure, till the promise was performed and the Messiah had come, and then that nation was made not a people.

> ...to be continued in eternity for those who trust and serve Him with us. To YHWH be the glory!

as much as lieth in you, live peaceably with <u>all</u> men.

-Romans 12:18



Biblical Correctness Ministries P.O. Box 26 New Kensington, Pennsylvania 15068

This book is also available as a free pdf download at: www.bcmin.us